

Teleparallel Lgrange Geometry and a Unified Field Theory*

M. I. Wanas[†], Nabil L. Youssef[‡] and A. M. Sid-Ahmed^{‡†}

[†] Department of Astronomy, Faculty of Science, Cairo University
CTP of the British University in Egypt (BUE)
wanas@frcu.eun.egt

[‡] Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University
nyoussef@frcu.eun.eg, nlyoussef2003@yahoo.fr

^{‡†} Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Cairo University
amrs@mail.eun.eg, amrsidahmed@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we construct a field theory unifying gravity and electromagnetism in the context of Extended Absolute Parallelism (EAP-) geometry. This geometry combines, within its structure, the geometric richness of the tangent bundle and the mathematical simplicity of Absolute Parallelism (AP-) geometry. The constructed field theory is a generalization of the Generalized Field Theory (GFT) formulated by Mikhail and Wanas. The theory obtained is purely geometric. The horizontal (resp. vertical) field equations are derived by applying the Euler-Lagrange equations to an appropriate horizontal (resp. vertical) scalar Lagrangian. The symmetric part of the resulting horizontal (resp. vertical) field equations gives rise to a generalized form of Einstein's field equations in which the horizontal (resp. vertical) energy-momentum tensor is purely geometric. The skew-symmetric part of the resulting horizontal (resp. vertical) field equations gives rise to a generalized form of Maxwell equations in which the electromagnetic field is purely geometric. Some interesting special cases, which reveal the role of the nonlinear connection in the obtained field equations, are examined. Finally, the condition under which our constructed field equations reduce to the GFT is explicitly established.

Keywords: Extended Absolute Parallelism, Lagrange geometry, Metric d -connection, Canonical d -connection, Euler-Lagrange equations, Generalized Field Theory (GFT), Unified field theory, Cartan condition, Berwald condition, Cartan-Berwald condition.

PACS. 04.50-h, 12.10-g, 45.10.Na, 02.40.Hw, 02.40.Ma.

2000 AMS Subject Classification. 53B40, 53B50, 53Z05, 83C22.

*arXiv:0905.0209

[†]The authors are members of the Egyptian Relativity Group (ERG): www.erg.net.eg

0. Motivation and Introduction

Although the general theory of relativity, constructed in a 4-dimensional Riemannian space, is the best known theory for studying gravitational interactions, so far, it suffers from some problems. Examples of these problems are: the horizon problem, the initial singularity, the flatness of the rotation curve of spiral galaxies [24], the Pioneer 10, 11-anomaly [22] and the interpretation of supernovae type-Ia observation [7]. Some of these problems are old, while others have been discovered in the last ten years or so. In the context of orthodox general relativity theory, there are no satisfactory solutions for such problems.

The above mentioned problems may be due to a missing interaction that has no representative in Riemannian geometry. This may imply that Riemannian geometry is inadequate for studying such problems, since it is limited to the case of a symmetric linear connection and a symmetric metric tensor. However, some authors have suggested modifications of general relativity, retaining Riemannian geometry, by either:

- (a) Increasing the order of the Lagrangian used to construct the field equations in general relativity [6].
- (b) Using non-conventional equations of state [4].
- (c) Adding a term to the theory (i.e the cosmological term) preserving conservation [3].
- (d) Adding a term to the theory violating conservation [21].
- (e) Increasing the dimension of Riemannian space used (Kaluza-Klein-type theories)[23].

Other authors prefer to use more general geometric structures, other than Riemannian geometry, e.g. Riemann-Cartan geometry [5], Absolute Parallelism (AP-) geometry (cf. [13], [29], [36]), Finsler geometry and its generalizations (cf. [1], [12]) and generalized AP-geometry [34]. The use of these structures has the advantage of probing the role of geometric entities other than the curvature, e.g. non-symmetric linear connection and its torsion, in physical applications. This may illuminate the role of such entities in physical phenomena which have no satisfactory interpretation in orthodox general relativity.

As an example, some of the geometric advantages and physical achievements of the conventional AP-geometry are the following:

- (a) AP-geometry admits at least four built-in (natural) affine connections, two of which are non-symmetric and three of which have non-vanishing curvature. AP-geometry also admits tensors of third order, a number of second order skew and symmetric tensors and a **non-vanishing torsion** [13].
- (b) Electromagnetism can be successfully represented together with gravity [14].
- (c) In four dimensions, the tetrad vector field defining the geometric structure of AP-space is used as fundamental variables in an attempt to quantize gravity [8].

- (d) AP-geometry gives rise to a new interaction between the torsion of the background geometry and the spin of the moving particles [28], which has been confirmed experimentally [33].
- (e) It has been shown that there is a built-in quantum properties in any geometric structure with non-vanishing torsion [32].

We consider the Generalized Field Theory (GFT) [14] as a good example of using geometries with simultaneously non-vanishing curvature and torsion for the purpose of unifying fundamental interactions. Applications of this theory show to what extent it is successful in unifying gravity with electromagnetism (cf. [15], [26], [30]).

Recently, many attempts have been made to formulate Einstein's field equations and Maxwell's equations in the context of Finsler geometry and generalized Lagrange geometry ([11], [16], [17], [18]). For example, Almost Finslerian Lagrange (AFL-) spaces [20] were used as a model for a theory of electromagnetism in this wider framework [9], [10]. Moreover, R. Miron developed a Lagrangian theory of relativity, which is a more generalized version of the Finslerian theory of relativity. In his theory, four kinds of new Einstein-like equations and two kinds of conservation-like laws are constructed [20].

The use of Riemannian geometry in applications explores the role played by its symmetric linear connection (and its consequences) in physical interactions. Similarly, the use of more general geometric structures, with non-vanishing torsion, explores the role played by the non-symmetric linear connection (and its consequences) in physical applications.

One of the aims of the present work is to explore the role of the nonlinear connection in physical phenomena, if any. As a first step to achieve our goal is to construct a field theory in spaces equipped with a nonlinear connection. For this to be done, two of the authors of this paper have constructed a geometric structure called Extended Absolute Parallelism (EAP-) geometry [37]. EAP-geometry combines, within its structure, the geometric richness of the tangent bundle [35] and the mathematical simplicity of AP-geometry. Consequently, it may have a potentially wider geometric and physical scope than AP-geometry.

In the present work, we are going to construct a version of the GFT within the context of EAP-geometry, performing a suitable generalization of the scheme followed in the construction of the field equations of the GFT. Our theory is formulated on the tangent bundle TM of M , on the basis of Miron's approach to the geometry of tangent bundles. However, the method of construction of the theory and its content are substantially different from those of Miron's.

The paper is organized in the following manner. In section 1, we focus our attention on the fundamental concepts that will be needed in the sequel. We then discuss the properties of a unique metric d -connection on TM which we refer to as the natural metric d -connection, relative to which the generalized field equations are to be obtained. In section 2, a survey of the basic definitions and concepts of EAP-geometry is given, followed, in section 3, by some relations needed for the construction of the field equations. In section 4, the field equations are constructed. We derive the horizontal generalized field

equations by applying a modified version of the Euler-Lagrange equations to a suitable (horizontal) scalar Lagrangian $\mathcal{H}$. The vertical generalized field equations *in the general case* are also found, again by applying the Euler-Lagrange equations to the vertical analogue $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{H}$. In section 5, splitting of the obtained field equations into its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts is performed. The symmetric part of the resulting horizontal (resp. vertical) field equations gives rise to a generalized form of Einstein's field equations in which the horizontal (resp. vertical) energy momentum tensor is purely geometric. The skew-symmetric part of the resulting horizontal (resp. vertical) field equations gives rise to a generalized form of Maxwell's equations in which the electromagnetic field is purely geometric. In section 6, the form of the field equations under the Integrability, Cartan and Berwald conditions are deduced. These special cases throw some light on the role played by the nonlinear connection in the obtained field equations. Finally, in section 7, we end the paper by summarizing the obtained results in the different cases dealt with and some concluding remarks.

1. Basic Preliminaries

The material covered in the present section may be found in [19] and [20]. Some related topics may be found in [2].

Let M be a paracompact manifold of dimension n of class C^∞ . Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ be its tangent bundle. If (U, x^μ) is a local chart on M , then $(\pi^{-1}(U), (x^\mu, y^a))$ is the corresponding local chart on TM . The coordinate transformation on TM is given by:

$$x^{\mu'} = x^{\mu'}(x^\nu), \quad y^{a'} = p_a^{a'} y^a, \quad (1.1)$$

$\mu = 1, \dots, n; \quad a = 1, \dots, n;$

$$p_a^{a'} = \frac{\partial y^{a'}}{\partial y^a} = \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} \quad (1.2)$$

and $\det(p_a^{a'}) \neq 0$.

The tangent space $T_u(TM)$ at $u \in TM$ is a $2n$ dimensional vector space, having the natural basis $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a})$. The change of coordinates (1.1) **in a local chart of TM** implies a change of the natural basis as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu'}} = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{a'}} = \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial y^{a'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \quad (1.4)$$

The paracompactness of M ensures the existence of a nonlinear connection N on TM with coefficients $N_\alpha^a(x, y)$. The transformation formula for the coefficients N_α^a is given by

$$N_{\alpha'}^{a'} = p_a^{a'} p_{\alpha'}^\alpha N_\alpha^a + p_a^{a'} p_{c'\alpha'}^a y^{c'}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $p_{c'\alpha'}^a = \frac{\partial p_{c'}^a}{\partial x^{\alpha'}}$. The nonlinear connection leads to the direct sum decomposition

$$T_u(TM) = H_u(TM) \oplus V_u(TM), \quad \forall u \in TM \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\{0\}$ is the null section of TM , $V_u(TM)$ is the vertical space at u with local basis $\dot{\partial}_a := \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}$ and $H_u(TM)$ is the horizontal space at u , associated with N , supplementary to $V_u(TM)$. If $\partial_\mu := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$, then the canonical basis of $H_u(TM)$ is given by

$$\delta_\mu := \partial_\mu - N_\mu^a \dot{\partial}_a \quad (1.7)$$

Consequently, $(\delta_\mu, \dot{\partial}_a)$ is a basis of $T_u(TM)$ at $u \in TM$, called the adapted basis.

Now, let $(dx^\alpha, \delta y^a)$ be the basis of $T_u^*(TM)$ dual to the adapted basis $(\delta_\alpha, \dot{\partial}_a)$ of $T_u(TM)$. Then

$$\delta y^a := dy^a + N_\alpha^a dx^\alpha \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$dx^\alpha(\delta_\beta) = \delta_\beta^\alpha, \quad dx^\alpha(\dot{\partial}_a) = 0; \quad \delta y^a(\delta_\beta) = 0, \quad \delta y^a(\dot{\partial}_b) = \delta_b^a. \quad (1.9)$$

Under a change of local coordinates in TM , the following holds:

$$\delta_{\alpha'} = p_{\alpha'}^\alpha \delta_\alpha, \quad \dot{\partial}_{a'} = p_{a'}^a \dot{\partial}_a; \quad dx^{\alpha'} = p_{\alpha'}^\alpha dx^\alpha, \quad \delta y^{a'} = p_a^{a'} \delta y^a. \quad (1.10)$$

The above transformation formulae result from the law of transformation (1.5) of the coefficients (N_α^a) of the nonlinear connection, together with (1.3) and (1.4). The general covariance of geometric objects defined on the tangent bundle TM is guaranteed by (1.10) as will be revealed below.

Definition 1.1. A nonlinear connection N_μ^a is said to be homogeneous if it is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in the directional argument y .

We denote by $\mathfrak{X}(TM)$ the set of all vector fields on TM .

Definition 1.2. A d -connection D on TM is a linear connection on TM which preserves by parallelism the horizontal and vertical distribution: if Y is a horizontal (vertical) vector field, then $D_X Y$ is a horizontal (vertical) vector field, for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$.

Consequently, as opposed to a linear connection on TM , which has in general eight coefficients, a d -connection D on TM has only four coefficients. The coefficients of a d -connection $D = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \Gamma_{b\nu}^a, C_{\mu c}^\alpha, C_{bc}^a)$ are defined by

$$D_{\delta_\nu} \delta_\mu =: \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \delta_\alpha, \quad D_{\delta_\nu} \dot{\partial}_b =: \Gamma_{b\nu}^a \dot{\partial}_a; \quad D_{\dot{\partial}_c} \delta_\mu =: C_{\mu c}^\alpha \delta_\alpha, \quad D_{\dot{\partial}_c} \dot{\partial}_b =: C_{bc}^a \dot{\partial}_a. \quad (1.11)$$

By (1.10) and (1.11), the transformation formulae of a d -connection are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mu'\nu'}^{\alpha'} &= p_\alpha^{\alpha'} p_{\mu'}^\mu p_{\nu'}^\nu \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + p_\epsilon^{\alpha'} p_{\mu'\nu'}^\epsilon, & \Gamma_{b'\mu'}^{a'} &= p_a^{a'} p_{b'}^b p_{\mu'}^\mu \Gamma_{b\mu}^a + p_c^{a'} p_{b'\mu'}^c; \\ C_{\mu'c'}^{\alpha'} &= p_\alpha^{\alpha'} p_{\mu'}^\mu p_{c'}^c C_{\mu c}^\alpha, & C_{b'c'}^{a'} &= p_a^{a'} p_{b'}^b p_{c'}^c C_{bc}^a. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3. A d -tensor field A on TM of type $(p, r; q, s)$ is a tensor field on TM which can be locally expressed in the form

$$A = A_{v_1 \dots v_{q+s}}^{u_1 \dots u_{p+r}} \partial_{u_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{u_{p+r}} \otimes dx^{v_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{v_{q+s}},$$

where $u_i \in \{\alpha_i, a_i\}$, $v_j \in \{\beta_j, b_j\}$,

$$\partial_{u_i} \in \{\delta_{\alpha_i}, \dot{\partial}_{a_i}\}, \quad dx^{v_j} \in \{dx^{\beta_j}, \delta y^{b_j}\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, p+r; \quad j = 1, \dots, q+s,$$

so that the number of α_i 's = p , the number of a_i 's = r , the number of β_j 's = q and the number of b_j 's = s .

Let $A = A_{\beta b}^{\alpha a} \delta_{\alpha} \otimes \dot{\partial}_a \otimes dx^{\beta} \otimes \delta y^b$ be a d -tensor field of type $(1, 1; 1, 1)$. If

$$A = A_{\beta' b'}^{\alpha' a'} \delta_{\alpha'} \otimes \dot{\partial}_{a'} \otimes dx^{\beta'} \otimes \delta y^{b'}$$

is the representation of A in the new coordinate system $(x^{\mu'}, y^{a'})$, then, in view of (1.10), we have

$$A_{\beta' b'}^{\alpha' a'} = p_{\alpha'}^{\alpha} p_{\beta'}^{\beta} p_{a'}^a p_{b'}^b A_{\beta b}^{\alpha a}. \quad (1.12)$$

Since both $p_{\alpha'}^{\alpha}$ and $p_{a'}^a$ depend on the positional argument x only, as is clear from (1.2), it follows that the transformation formula for the components of a d -tensor field on the tangent bundle TM is similar in form to the transformation formula for the components of a tensor field defined on the base manifold M . In fact, this should be expected; a d -tensor field is defined in terms of the adapted basis and not in terms of the natural basis. The adapted basis, unlike the natural basis, has a transformation formula similar in form to the transformation formula of a tensor field defined on the base manifold M . For this reason, the general covariance of the geometric objects considered in this work is ensured.

A comment on notation: Throughout the paper, both Greek indices $\{\alpha, \beta, \mu, \dots\}$ and Latin indices $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$ take the values $1, \dots, n$. **Greek** indices are used to denote **horizontal** entities, whereas **Latin** indices are used to denote **vertical** entities. Einstein convention is applied on both types of indices. Also, throughout, the symbol $|$ and $||$ will denote the horizontal and vertical covariant derivatives respectively with respect to a given d -connection.

Definition 1.4. The torsion tensor field $\mathbf{T}$ of a d -connection D on TM is defined by

$$\mathbf{T}(X, Y) := D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y]; \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM).$$

Proposition 1.5. In the adapted basis $(\delta_{\alpha}, \dot{\partial}_a)$, the torsion tensor field $\mathbf{T}$ of a d -connection $D = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \Gamma_{b\mu}^a, C_{\mu c}^{\alpha}, C_{bc}^a)$ is characterized by the d -tensor fields with local coefficients $(\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, R_{\mu\nu}^a, C_{\mu c}^{\alpha}, P_{\mu c}^a, T_{bc}^a)$ defined by:

$$h\mathbf{T}(\delta_{\nu}, \delta_{\mu}) =: \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha}, \quad v\mathbf{T}(\delta_{\nu}, \delta_{\mu}) =: R_{\mu\nu}^a \dot{\partial}_a$$

$$h\mathbf{T}(\dot{\partial}_c, \delta_{\mu}) =: C_{\mu c}^{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha}, \quad v\mathbf{T}(\dot{\partial}_c, \delta_{\mu}) =: P_{\mu c}^a \dot{\partial}_a, \quad v\mathbf{T}(\dot{\partial}_c, \dot{\partial}_b) =: T_{bc}^a \dot{\partial}_a,$$

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} := \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\alpha}, \quad R_{\mu\nu}^a := \delta_{\nu} N_{\mu}^a - \delta_{\mu} N_{\nu}^a, \quad P_{\mu c}^a := \dot{\partial}_c N_{\mu}^a - \Gamma_{c\mu}^a, \quad T_{bc}^a := C_{bc}^a - C_{cb}^a,$$

where h (resp. v) denotes the horizontal (resp. vertical) counterpart.

It is to be noted that $R_{\mu\nu}^a$ is again the curvature of the nonlinear connection N .

Definition 1.6. The curvature tensor field $\mathbf{R}$ of a d -connection D is given by

$$\mathbf{R}(X, Y)Z := D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X, Y]}Z; \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(TM).$$

In the adapted basis $(\delta_\alpha, \dot{\partial}_a)$, the curvature tensor field $\mathbf{R}$ is characterized by the six d -tensor fields defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}(\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu)\delta_\beta &=: R_{\beta\mu\nu}^\alpha \delta_\alpha; & \mathbf{R}(\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu)\dot{\partial}_b &=: R_{b\mu\nu}^a \dot{\partial}_a, \\ \mathbf{R}(\dot{\partial}_c, \delta_\nu)\delta_\beta &=: P_{\beta\nu c}^\alpha \delta_\alpha; & \mathbf{R}(\dot{\partial}_c, \delta_\nu)\dot{\partial}_b &=: P_{b\nu c}^a \dot{\partial}_a, \\ \mathbf{R}(\dot{\partial}_b, \dot{\partial}_c)\delta_\beta &=: S_{\beta bc}^\alpha \delta_\alpha; & \mathbf{R}(\dot{\partial}_c, \dot{\partial}_d)\dot{\partial}_b &=: S_{bcd}^a \dot{\partial}_a. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.7. An $h\nu$ -metric on TM is a covariant d -tensor field $\mathcal{G} := h\mathcal{G} + v\mathcal{G}$ on TM , where $h\mathcal{G} := g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$, $v\mathcal{G} := g_{ab} \delta y^a \otimes \delta y^b$ such that:

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \det(g_{\alpha\beta}) \neq 0; \quad g_{ab} = g_{ba}, \quad \det(g_{ab}) \neq 0.$$

Definition 1.8. A d -connection D on TM is said to be metric or compatible with the metric $\mathcal{G}$ if $D_X \mathcal{G} = 0$, $\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$.

In the adapted frame $(\delta_\alpha, \dot{\partial}_a)$, the above condition can be expressed in the form:

$$g_{\alpha\beta|\mu} = g_{\alpha\beta|c} = g_{ab|\mu} = g_{ab|c} = 0.$$

Theorem 1.9. For a given $h\nu$ -metric on TM , there exists a unique metric d -connection $\mathring{D} = (\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \mathring{\Gamma}_{b\nu}^a, \mathring{C}_{\mu c}^\alpha, \mathring{C}_{bc}^a)$ on TM with the properties that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathring{\Lambda}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha &= \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\nu\mu}^\alpha = 0, & \mathring{T}_{bc}^a &= \mathring{C}_{bc}^a - \mathring{C}_{cb}^a = 0. \\ \text{(b)} \quad \mathring{\Gamma}_{b\nu}^a &:= \dot{\partial}_b N_\nu^a + \frac{1}{2} g^{ac} (\delta_\nu g_{bc} - g_{dc} \dot{\partial}_b N_\nu^d - g_{bd} \dot{\partial}_c N_\nu^d), & \mathring{C}_{\mu c}^\alpha &:= \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\epsilon} \dot{\partial}_c g_{\mu\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the coefficients $\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ and $\mathring{C}_{bc}^a$ are necessarily of the form

$$\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha := \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\epsilon} (\delta_\mu g_{\epsilon\nu} + \delta_\nu g_{\epsilon\mu} - \delta_\epsilon g_{\mu\nu}), \quad \mathring{C}_{bc}^a := \frac{1}{2} g^{ad} (\dot{\partial}_b g_{dc} + \dot{\partial}_c g_{db} - \dot{\partial}_d g_{bc}).$$

We call the connection $\mathring{D}$ the **natural metric** d -connection.

Definition 1.10. A nonlinear connection N_μ^a is said to be integrable if $R_{\mu\nu}^a = 0$. In this case, the bracket of two horizontal vector fields is a horizontal vector field.

Proposition 1.11. Let $\mathring{R}_{\beta\nu} := \mathring{R}_{\beta\nu\alpha}^\alpha$, $\mathring{S}_{bc} := \mathring{S}_{bcd}^d$ be the horizontal and vertical Ricci tensors respectively of the above metric d -connection. Then we have:

$$\mathring{R}_{\beta\nu} - \mathring{R}_{\nu\beta} = \mathfrak{S}_{\beta,\nu,\alpha} \mathring{C}_{\beta d}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^d, \quad \mathring{S}_{bc} = \mathring{S}_{cb}, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{\beta,\nu,\alpha}$ denotes a cyclic sum on the indices β, ν, α . Consequently, $\mathring{R}_{\beta\nu}$ is symmetric if the nonlinear connection is integrable.

Theorem 1.12. Let $\mathring{\mathcal{R}} := g^{\alpha\beta} \mathring{R}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{S}} := h^{ab} \mathring{S}_{ab}$ be the horizontal and vertical Ricci scalars of the natural metric d-connection respectively. Then the horizontal Einstein tensor

$$\mathring{G}_{\mu\sigma} := \mathring{R}_{\mu\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\sigma} \mathring{\mathcal{R}} \quad (1.14)$$

satisfies the identity

$$\mathring{G}^\mu_{\sigma|\mu} = R^\mu_{\sigma\mu} \mathring{P}^\mu_a + \frac{1}{2} R^\mu_{\alpha\mu} \mathring{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{\sigma a}, \quad (1.15)$$

where $\mathring{G}^\mu_\sigma := g^{\mu\epsilon} \mathring{G}_{\epsilon\sigma}$, $\mathring{P}_{\epsilon a} := -\mathring{P}^\beta_{\epsilon\beta a}$, $\mathring{P}^\mu_a := g^{\mu\epsilon} \mathring{P}_{\epsilon a}$, $\mathring{P}^{\alpha\mu}_{\sigma a} := g^{\mu\epsilon} \mathring{P}^\alpha_{\epsilon\sigma a}$.

Consequently, if the nonlinear connection is integrable, then $\mathring{G}_{\mu\sigma}$ is symmetric and satisfies the conservation law

$$\mathring{G}^\mu_{\sigma|\mu} = 0. \quad (1.16)$$

On the other hand, the vertical Einstein tensor

$$\mathring{G}_{ab} := \mathring{S}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} \mathring{\mathcal{S}} \quad (1.17)$$

is symmetric and satisfies the conservation law

$$\mathring{G}^a_{b||a} = 0, \quad (1.18)$$

where $\mathring{G}^a_b := g^{ac} \mathring{G}_{cb}$.

2. Extended Absolute Parallelism Geometry (EAP-geometry)

Searching for a viable modern formulation of conventional AP-geometry may seem not only desirable, but actually essential. The structure of EAP-geometry may reveal some deep connection between the geometry of the tangent bundle, which is geometrically very rich, and the conventional AP-geometry, which is physically quite successful. The inherent simplicity of EAP-geometry may indicate that such a connection is neither artificial nor can be overlooked.

In this section, we give a brief review of the basic concepts of the EAP-geometry. We shall limit ourselves to the necessary material needed for the construction of the field equations. For a detailed exposition of EAP-geometry, we refer the reader to [37].

As in the previous section, M is assumed to be a smooth paracompact manifold of dimension n . This insures the existence of a nonlinear connection on TM so that the decomposition (1.6) induced by the nonlinear connection holds.

We assume that λ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are n vector fields **globally** defined on TM . In the adapted basis $(\delta_\alpha, \dot{\partial}_a)$, we have $\lambda_i = h\lambda_i + v\lambda_i = \lambda^\alpha_i \delta_\alpha + \lambda^a_i \dot{\partial}_a$. We further assume that the n horizontal vector fields $h\lambda_i$ and the n vertical vector fields $v\lambda_i$ are **linearly independent** so that

$$\lambda^\alpha_i \lambda^\beta_j = \delta^\alpha_{\beta}, \quad \lambda^\alpha_i \lambda^\alpha_j = \delta_{ij}; \quad \lambda^a_i \lambda^b_j = \delta^a_b, \quad \lambda^a_i \lambda^a_j = \delta_{ij},$$

where (λ_α) and (λ_a) denote the inverse matrices of (λ^α_i) and (λ^a_i) respectively. We refer to the vector fields (λ^α_i) and (λ^a_i) as the (horizontal and vertical) **fundamental vector fields**. These λ_i correspond to the ordinary tetrad of the conventional AP-space. It should be noted that the fundamental vector fields depend on both the positional argument x and the directional argument y . Consequently, all geometric objects of the EAP-space are a function of both x and y .

A manifold M equipped with such independent vector fields on TM is called an Extended Absolute Parallelism space (EAP-space) and is denoted by (TM, λ) .

Remark 2.1. It should be noted that the existence of mesh indices on any geometric object does not in any way affect the general covariance of the tensor fields involved. For example, the transformation formula for λ^α_i (a tensor field of type $(1, 0; 0, 0)$) is given by $\lambda^{\alpha'}_i = p^{\alpha'}_\alpha \lambda^\alpha_i$. Moreover, if $C_i = \lambda^\mu_i C_\mu$, then, noting that C_μ transforms according to the law $C_{\mu'} = p^\mu_{\mu'} C_\mu$, the C_i 's, $i = 1, \dots, n$, transform as a set of scalars. Indeed, we have

$$C'_i = \lambda^{\mu'}_i C_{\mu'} = p^\mu_{\mu'} p^{\alpha'}_\alpha \lambda^\mu_i C_\alpha = \delta^\alpha_\mu \lambda^\mu_i C_\alpha = \lambda^\alpha_i C_\alpha = C_i.$$

On the other hand, if a summation is performed on the mesh index i , then in this case i acts as a dummy index and hence does not again affect the covariance of the tensor fields involved.

We will use the symbol λ without the subscript i to denote any one of the vector fields λ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). The index i will appear *only when summation is performed*.

We set

$$g_{\alpha\beta} := \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\beta, \quad g_{ab} := \lambda_a \lambda_b.$$

Then, clearly,

$$\mathcal{G} = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta + g_{ab} \delta y^a \otimes \delta y^b$$

is an $h\nu$ -metric on TM . Moreover, the inverse of the matrices $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ and (g_{ab}) are given by $(g^{\alpha\beta})$ and (g^{ab}) respectively, where

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = \lambda^\alpha_i \lambda^\beta_i, \quad g^{ab} = \lambda^a_i \lambda^b_i.$$

Theorem 2.2. The d -connection $D = (\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma^a_{b\nu}, C^\alpha_{\mu c}, C^a_{bc})$ defined by

$$\Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\nu} = \lambda^\alpha_i (\delta_\nu \lambda_\mu), \quad \Gamma^a_{b\nu} = \lambda^a_i (\delta_\nu \lambda_b); \quad C^\alpha_{\mu c} = \lambda^\alpha_i (\partial_c \lambda_\mu), \quad C^a_{bc} = \lambda^a_i (\partial_c \lambda_b)$$

satisfies the AP-condition

$$\lambda^\alpha|_\mu = \lambda^\alpha|_c = \lambda^a|_\mu = \lambda^a|_c = 0.$$

Consequently, D is a metric d -connection.

This d -connection is referred to as the **canonical** d -connection.

Definition 2.3. The torsion tensor field $\mathbf{T} = (\Lambda^\alpha_{\mu\nu}, R^a_{\mu\nu}, C^\alpha_{\mu c}, P^a_{\mu c}, T^a_{bc})$ of the canonical d -connection is referred to as the torsion of the EAP-space.

Definition 2.4. The contortion tensor field of the EAP-space is defined by

$$\mathbf{C}(X, Y) := D_Y X - \overset{\circ}{D}_Y X; \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(TM),$$

where D_Y is the covariant derivatives with respect to the canonical d -connection and $\overset{\circ}{D}_Y$ is the covariant derivatives with respect to the natural metric d -connection obtained in Theorem 1.9 corresponding to the metric defined by (2.1).

In the adapted basis (δ_μ, ∂_a) , the contortion tensor $\mathbf{C}$ is characterized by the d -tensor fields with local coefficients $(\gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \gamma_{b\nu}^a, \gamma_{\mu c}^\alpha, \gamma_{bc}^a)$ defined by:

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha := \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \quad \gamma_{b\mu}^a := \Gamma_{b\mu}^a - \overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{b\mu}^a; \quad \gamma_{\mu c}^\alpha := C_{\mu c}^\alpha - \overset{\circ}{C}_{\mu c}^\alpha, \quad \gamma_{bc}^a := C_{bc}^a - \overset{\circ}{C}_{bc}^a. \quad (2.1)$$

Consequently,

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha, \quad T_{bc}^a = \gamma_{bc}^a - \gamma_{cb}^a. \quad (2.2)$$

We set

$$\Omega_{\mu\nu}^\alpha := \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha + \gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha, \quad \Omega_{bc}^a := \gamma_{bc}^a + \gamma_{cb}^a.$$

By definition of the canonical d -connection and (2.1), we have

Proposition 2.5. The contortion tensor can be expressed in terms of the λ 's in the form:

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_{i\mu}^\circ \nu, \quad \gamma_{b\mu}^a = \lambda_i^a \lambda_{i b}^\circ \mu, \quad \gamma_{\mu c}^\alpha = \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_{i\mu}^\circ c, \quad \gamma_{bc}^a = \lambda_i^a \lambda_{i b}^\circ c.$$

Proposition 2.6. Let $\gamma_{\alpha\mu\nu} := g_{\alpha\epsilon} \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon$, $\gamma_{ab\mu} := g_{ac} \gamma_{b\mu}^c$, $\gamma_{\alpha\mu c} := g_{\alpha\epsilon} \gamma_{\mu c}^\epsilon$, $\gamma_{abc} := g_{ad} \gamma_{bc}^d$. Then each of the above defined d -tensor fields is skew-symmetric in the first pair of indices. Consequently, $\gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\alpha = \gamma_{a\mu}^a = \gamma_{\alpha c}^\alpha = \gamma_{ac}^a = 0$.

We set

$$\Lambda_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha = \gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha =: C_\mu, \quad T_{ba}^a = \gamma_{ba}^a =: C_b.$$

Definition 2.7. We refer to $\mathbf{B} := (C_\mu, C_a)$ as the basic vector field of the EAP-space.

Proposition 2.8. The following identities hold:

$$\Lambda_{\mu\nu|\alpha}^\alpha = (C_{\mu|\nu} - C_{\nu|\mu}) + C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon + \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} C_{\nu a}^\alpha R_{\mu\alpha}^a \quad (2.3)$$

$$T_{bc||d}^d = (C_{b||c} - C_{c||b}) + C_d T_{bc}^d. \quad (2.4)$$

Now, we list in Table 1 below some second rank tensors available in the EAP-space. These tensors will play an important role in the constructed field equations.

Table 1: Fundamental second rank tensors of EAP-space

Horizontal		Vertical	
Skew-Symmetric	Symmetric	Skew-Symmetric	Symmetric
$\xi_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha{}_{ \alpha}$		$\xi_{ab} := \gamma_{ab}^d{}_{ d}$	
$\gamma_{\mu\nu} := C_\alpha \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$		$\gamma_{ab} := C_d \gamma_{ab}^d$	
$\eta_{\mu\nu} := C_\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta$	$\phi_{\mu\nu} := C_\beta \Omega_{\mu\nu}^\beta$	$\eta_{ab} := C_d T_{ab}^d$	$\phi_{ab} := C_d \Omega_{ab}^d$
$\chi_{\mu\nu} := \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha{}_{ \alpha}$	$\psi_{\mu\nu} := \Omega_{\mu\nu}^\beta{}_{ \beta}$	$\chi_{ab} := T_{ab}^d{}_{ d}$	$\psi_{ab} := \Omega_{ab}^d{}_{ d}$
$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} := C_{\mu \nu} - C_{\nu \mu}$	$\theta_{\mu\nu} := C_{\mu \nu} + C_{\nu \mu}$	$\epsilon_{ab} := C_{a b} - C_{b a}$	$\theta_{ab} := C_{a b} + C_{b a}$
$\kappa_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha - \gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta \gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha$	$\varpi_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha + \gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta \gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha$	$\kappa_{ab} := \gamma_{da}^c \gamma_{bc}^d - \gamma_{ad}^c \gamma_{cb}^d$	$\varpi_{ab} := \gamma_{da}^c \gamma_{bc}^d + \gamma_{ad}^c \gamma_{cb}^d$
	$\sigma_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\alpha\mu}^\beta \gamma_{\beta\nu}^\alpha$		$\sigma_{ab} := \gamma_{da}^c \gamma_{cb}^d$
	$\omega_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\beta \gamma_{\nu\beta}^\alpha$		$\omega_{ab} := \gamma_{ad}^c \gamma_{bc}^d$
	$\alpha_{\mu\nu} := C_\mu C_\nu$		$\alpha_{ab} := C_a C_b$

Proposition 2.9. *The Ricci tensors $\mathring{R}_{\beta\mu}$ and $\mathring{S}_{bc}$ of the natural metric d -connection can be expressed in terms of the fundamental tensors of Table 1 in the form*

$$\mathring{R}_{\beta\mu} = -\frac{1}{2} (\theta_{\beta\mu} - \psi_{\beta\mu} + \phi_{\beta\mu}) + \omega_{\beta\mu} + Q_{(\beta\mu)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\beta,\mu,\alpha} \mathring{C}_{\beta\alpha}^\alpha R_{\mu\alpha}^a, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\mathring{S}_{bc} = -\frac{1}{2} (\theta_{bc} - \psi_{bc} + \phi_{bc}) + \omega_{bc}; \quad (2.6)$$

where $Q_{\beta\mu} := \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\epsilon R_{\mu\epsilon}^a$.

3. Some Useful Relations

Henceafter, we shall make extensive use of the fundamental tensor fields defined in Table 1 of the previous section. We shall denote $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \equiv \partial_\mu$ by “ $,\mu$ ” and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \equiv \dot{\partial}_a$ by “ $,a$ ” interchangeably. Moreover, *we regard $\lambda_\beta, \lambda_{\beta,\alpha}, \lambda_{\beta;a}, \lambda_b, \lambda_{b,\alpha}$ and $\lambda_{b;a}$ as independent.*¹ The next three Lemmas are needed for the derivation of the field equations. The proof of the first two Lemmas is not difficult and will be omitted.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $C := C^\epsilon|_\epsilon - C^\epsilon C_\epsilon$, $\theta := g^{\mu\nu}\theta_{\mu\nu}$, $\alpha := g^{\mu\nu}\alpha_{\mu\nu}$, $\phi := g^{\mu\nu}\phi_{\mu\nu}$, $\psi := g^{\mu\nu}\psi_{\mu\nu}$ and $\Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu} := g_{\beta\epsilon}\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon$. Then the following relations hold:*

- (a) $\frac{1}{2}(\phi - \psi + \theta) - \alpha - 2C = 0$,
- (b) $C^\epsilon(\Lambda_{\mu\epsilon\nu} + \Lambda_{\nu\epsilon\mu}) = -\phi_{\mu\nu}$, $g^{\epsilon\alpha}(\Lambda_{\mu\nu\alpha|\epsilon} + \Lambda_{\nu\mu\alpha|\epsilon}) = \psi_{\mu\nu}$,
- (c) $C^\epsilon(\Lambda_{\mu\epsilon\nu} - \Lambda_{\nu\epsilon\mu}) = -(2\gamma_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu})$, $g^{\epsilon\alpha}(\Lambda_{\mu\nu\alpha|\epsilon} - \Lambda_{\nu\mu\alpha|\epsilon}) = 2\xi_{\mu\nu} + \chi_{\mu\nu}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (TM, λ) be an EAP-space. Let $D = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \Gamma_{b\mu}^a, C_{\mu c}^\alpha, C_{bc}^a)$ be the canonical d -connection. Then the following hold:*

- (a) $\frac{\partial|\lambda|}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = |\lambda|\lambda_\beta^j$, $|\lambda| := \det(\lambda_\beta)$. Consequently, $|\lambda|_{,\gamma} = |\lambda|(\lambda_\beta^j \lambda_{j,\gamma}^\beta)$, $\delta_\gamma|\lambda| = |\lambda|\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\beta$,
 $|\lambda|_{;a} = |\lambda|C_{\beta a}^\beta$.
- (b) $\frac{\partial\lambda_i^\alpha}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = -\lambda_j^\alpha \lambda_i^\beta$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = -(g^{\mu\beta} \lambda_j^\nu + g^{\nu\beta} \lambda_j^\mu)$.
- (c) $\frac{\partial||\lambda||}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = ||\lambda||\lambda_j^b$, $||\lambda|| := \det(\lambda_b)$. Consequently, $||\lambda||_{;a} = ||\lambda||C_{ba}^b$, $\delta_\gamma||\lambda|| = ||\lambda||\Gamma_{\gamma b}^b$.
- (d) $\frac{\partial\lambda_i^a}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = -\lambda_j^a \lambda_i^b$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial g^{cd}}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = -(g^{cb} \lambda_j^d + g^{db} \lambda_j^c)$.
- (e) $\frac{\partial C_{dc}^a}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = -\lambda_j^a C_{dc}^b$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial T_{dc}^a}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = \lambda_j^a T_{cd}^b$, $\frac{\partial C_d}{\partial\lambda_b^j} = \lambda_j^a T_{ad}^b$.
- (f) $\frac{\partial C_{dc}^a}{\partial\lambda_{b;e}^j} = \lambda_j^a \delta_d^b \delta_c^e$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial T_{dc}^a}{\partial\lambda_{b;e}^j} = \lambda_j^a (\delta_d^b \delta_c^e - \delta_d^e \delta_c^b)$, $\frac{\partial C_d}{\partial\lambda_{b;e}^j} = \lambda_j^a (\delta_d^b \delta_a^e - \delta_d^e \delta_a^b)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that the nonlinear connection N_μ^a does not depend on the horizontal counterparts λ_i^α of the fundamental vector fields. Then we have:*

- (a) $\frac{\partial\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = -\lambda_j^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\beta$, $\frac{\partial C_\mu}{\partial\lambda_\beta^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha \Lambda_{\alpha\mu}^\beta$.
- (b) $\frac{\partial\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial\lambda_{\beta,\gamma}^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha \delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\gamma$. Consequently, $\frac{\partial\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial\lambda_{\beta,\gamma}^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha (\delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\nu^\gamma - \delta_\mu^\gamma \delta_\nu^\beta)$, $\frac{\partial C_\mu}{\partial\lambda_{\beta,\gamma}^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha (\delta_\mu^\beta \delta_\alpha^\gamma - \delta_\mu^\gamma \delta_\alpha^\beta)$.

¹Let $A = \{\lambda_\beta, \lambda_{\beta,\alpha}, \lambda_{\beta;a}, \lambda_b, \lambda_{b,\alpha}, \lambda_{b;a}\}$. By saying that $u, v \in A$ are independent we mean that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial u} = 0$.

$$(c) \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}^j} = -\lambda_j^\alpha \delta_\mu^\beta N_\nu^a.$$

$$\text{Consequently, } \frac{\partial \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha (\delta_\nu^\beta N_\mu^a - \delta_\mu^\beta N_\nu^a), \quad \frac{\partial C_\mu}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}^j} = \lambda_j^\alpha (\delta_\alpha^\beta N_\mu^a - \delta_\mu^\beta N_\alpha^a).$$

Proof. We prove (c) only. The rest is similar. By hypothesis, N_μ^a is independent of λ_β and its derivatives. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;c}^j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;c}^j} (\lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_{i\mu,\nu} - \lambda_i^\alpha N_\nu^a \lambda_{i\mu;a}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;c}^j} (\lambda_i^\alpha N_\nu^a \lambda_{i\mu;a}) \\ &= -\lambda_i^\alpha N_\nu^a \left(\frac{\partial \lambda_{i\mu;a}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;c}^j} \right) = -\lambda_i^\alpha N_\nu^a (\delta_{ij} \delta_a^c \delta_\mu^\beta) = -\lambda_j^\alpha \delta_\mu^\beta N_\nu^a \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{T} = (\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, R_{\mu\nu}^a, C_{\mu c}^\alpha, P_{\mu c}^a, T_{bc}^a)$ be the torsion of the EAP-space. If $P_{\mu c}^a = 0$, then $N_{\mu;a}^a = \delta_\mu (ln||\lambda||)$ is a scalar 1-form.*

Proof. By hypothesis, we have $N_{\mu;a}^a = \Gamma_{a\mu}^a$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.2 (c), $\delta_\mu ||\lambda|| = ||\lambda|| \Gamma_{a\mu}^a$. Consequently, $\delta_\mu (ln||\lambda||) = \frac{1}{||\lambda||} \delta_\mu ||\lambda|| = N_{\mu;a}^a$. $\square$

4. Unified Field Equations

We now generalize the GFT in the context of the EAP-geometry. We derive the field equations using a variational technique, which involves the variation of an appropriate chosen (horizontal and vertical) Lagrangians with respect to the (horizontal and vertical) fundamental vector fields.

4.1 Horizontal unified field equations

Let (TM, λ) be an EAP-space. We assume that the nonlinear connection N_μ^a does not depend on the horizontal fundamental vector fields so that Lemma 3.3 holds.

We now formulate a generalized version of the GFT [14] in the framework of EAP-geometry under the above mentioned condition. The problem is to find an appropriate scalar Lagrangian that can work effectively in the context of EAP-geometry. We make the following choice. We take for the horizontal field equations a Lagrangian similar in form (but not in content) to that used by Mikhail and Wanas in their construction of the GFT. This is done for three reasons. First, the form of the chosen Lagrangian is relatively simple (depends on the first derivatives of the horizontal counterparts of the fundamental vector fields). Second, this form of the Lagrangian, in the conventional AP-context, has led to powerful theoretical and experimental results. Last, in order to facilitate comparison between the results obtained in our unified field theory and the GFT.

In view of the above, we start with the following scalar Lagrangian: Let

$$\mathcal{H} = |\lambda| g^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu},$$

where

$$H_{\mu\nu} := \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha \Lambda_{\alpha\nu}^\epsilon - C_\mu C_\nu. \quad (4.1)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations [19] for this Lagrangian are given by

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Setting

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} - \mathcal{L};$$

$$\mathcal{K} := |\lambda| g^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu} := |\lambda| g^{\mu\nu} \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha \Lambda_{\alpha\nu}^\epsilon,$$

$$\mathcal{L} := |\lambda| g^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} := |\lambda| g^{\mu\nu} C_\mu C_\nu,$$

(4.2) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{K}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \lambda_\beta}.$$

We first consider the expression

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right).$$

Taking into account Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} = |\lambda| \{ \lambda^\beta g^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} - (g^{\mu\beta} \lambda^\nu + g^{\nu\beta} \lambda^\mu) L_{\mu\nu} + 2g^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^\alpha \Lambda_{\alpha\mu}^\beta C_\nu) \}.$$

Consequently, by the relation $\lambda_j^\alpha \lambda_\sigma = \delta_\sigma^\alpha$, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma = \delta_\sigma^\beta L - 2L_\sigma^\beta + 2\Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta C^\mu, \quad (4.3)$$

where $L := g^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} = 2|\lambda| (\lambda^\gamma C^\beta - \lambda^\beta C^\gamma).$$

Hence, noting that, by Lemma 3.2 (a), $|\lambda|_{,\gamma} = |\lambda| (\lambda_k^\mu \lambda_{\mu,\gamma})$, we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) = 2|\lambda| \{ (\lambda_k^\mu \lambda_{\mu,\gamma}) (\lambda^\gamma C^\beta - \lambda^\beta C^\gamma) + (\lambda^\gamma C^\beta)_{,\gamma} - (\lambda^\beta C^\gamma)_{,\gamma} \},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2 \{ (\lambda_j^\mu \lambda_{\mu,\gamma}) (\delta_\sigma^\gamma C^\beta - \delta_\sigma^\beta C^\gamma) + [(\lambda_\sigma^\gamma \lambda_{\gamma,\gamma}) C^\beta \\ &\quad + \delta_\sigma^\gamma C^\beta_{,\gamma} - (\lambda_\sigma^\gamma \lambda_{\gamma,\gamma}) C^\gamma - \delta_\sigma^\beta C^\gamma_{,\gamma}] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\delta_\mu = \partial_\mu - N_\mu^a \dot{\partial}_a$, $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \lambda_j^\alpha \delta_\nu \lambda_{j\mu}$ and $C_{\mu a}^\alpha = \lambda_j^\alpha \dot{\partial}_a \lambda_{j\mu}$, it follows that

$$\lambda_j^\mu \lambda_{j\mu,\gamma} = (\lambda_j^\mu \delta_\gamma \lambda_{j\mu}) + N_\gamma^a (\lambda_j^\mu \dot{\partial}_a \lambda_{j\mu}) = \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\mu + N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu, \quad (4.5)$$

$$\lambda_\sigma \lambda_j^\gamma = -(\lambda_j^\gamma \lambda_{\sigma,\gamma}) = -(\Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\gamma + N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\gamma), \quad (4.6)$$

$$C_{\sigma,\gamma}^\beta = \delta_\gamma C_\sigma^\beta + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a C_\sigma^\beta; \quad C_{\gamma,\gamma}^\gamma = \delta_\gamma C_\gamma^\gamma + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a C_\gamma^\gamma, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\lambda_\sigma \lambda_j^\beta = -(\Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\beta + N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\beta). \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8) in (4.4), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2(C_\sigma^\beta |_\sigma - C_\sigma C^\beta - \delta_\sigma^\beta (C_\gamma |_\gamma - C_\gamma C_\gamma) + C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta) \\ &+ 2(N_\sigma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu C^\beta + N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\beta C_\gamma - N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\gamma C^\beta - \delta_\sigma^\beta N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu C_\gamma) \\ &+ 2(N_\sigma^a \dot{\partial}_a C^\beta - \delta_\sigma^\beta N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a C_\gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} = 2|\lambda|(\lambda^\beta N_\nu^a C^\nu - \lambda^\alpha N_\alpha^a C^\beta).$$

Consequently, noting that, by Lemma 3.2 (a), $|\lambda|_{;a} = |\lambda| C_{\mu a}^\mu$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2C_{\mu a}^\mu (\delta_\sigma^\beta N_\nu^a C^\nu - N_\sigma^a C^\beta) \\ &+ 2\{(\lambda_\sigma \lambda_j^\beta)_{;a} N_\nu^a C^\nu + \delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a C^\nu + \delta_\sigma^\beta N_\nu^a C_{;a}^\nu\} \\ &- 2\{(\lambda_\sigma \lambda_j^\alpha)_{;a} N_\alpha^a C^\beta + \delta_\sigma^\alpha N_{\alpha;a}^a C^\beta + \delta_\sigma^\alpha N_\alpha^a C_{;a}^\beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{\mu a}^\alpha = \lambda_j^\alpha \lambda_{j\mu;a}$ (or $\lambda_\mu \lambda_j^\alpha_{;a} = -C_{\mu a}^\alpha$), it follows from the above formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2(N_\alpha^a C_{\sigma a}^\alpha C^\beta - N_\sigma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu C^\beta - N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\beta C_\gamma) \\ &+ 2(\delta_\sigma^\beta N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu C_\gamma + \delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a C^\nu - N_\sigma^a C_{;a}^\beta) \\ &+ 2C^\nu (\delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a - \delta_\nu^\beta N_{\sigma;a}^a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

By (4.3), (4.9) and (4.10), canceling equal terms, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma &= \delta_\sigma^\beta L - 2L_\sigma^\beta + 2\Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta C^\mu - 2(C_\sigma^\beta |_\sigma + C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta) + 2C_\sigma C^\beta \\ &+ 2\delta_\sigma^\beta (C_\gamma |_\gamma - C_\gamma C_\gamma) - 2C^\nu (\delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a - \delta_\nu^\beta N_{\sigma;a}^a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

We next consider the expression

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{K}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right).$$

Again, by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we find that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} = |\lambda| \{ \lambda^\beta g^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu} - (g^{\mu\beta} \lambda^\nu + g^{\nu\beta} \lambda^\mu) K_{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} (\lambda^\alpha \Lambda_{\mu\epsilon}^\beta \Lambda_{\alpha\nu}^\epsilon + \lambda^\epsilon \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha \Lambda_{\nu\alpha}^\beta) \}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma = \delta_\sigma^\beta K - 2K_\sigma^\beta + 2g^{\mu\nu} \Lambda_{\mu\epsilon}^\beta \Lambda_{\sigma\nu}^\epsilon, \quad (4.12)$$

where $K := g^{\mu\nu} K_{\mu\nu}$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} = 2|\lambda| (\lambda^\epsilon g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - \lambda^\epsilon g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma).$$

Hence, noting that $|\lambda|_{,\gamma} = (|\lambda| \lambda_k^\mu)_{,\gamma}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2 \{ (\lambda_k^\mu \lambda_{\mu,\gamma}) (\delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma) \} \\ &\quad + 2 \{ (\lambda_{\sigma j}^\epsilon \lambda_{j,\gamma}^\epsilon) g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha,\gamma}^\beta \} \\ &\quad - 2 \{ (\lambda_{\sigma j}^\epsilon \lambda_{j,\gamma}^\epsilon) g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha,\gamma}^\gamma \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

As easily checked,

$$\lambda_j^\mu \lambda_{j,\gamma}^\mu = \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\mu + N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu; \quad \lambda_\sigma^\epsilon \lambda_{j,\gamma}^\epsilon = -(\Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon + N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\epsilon), \quad (4.14)$$

$$g^{\beta\alpha}{}_{,\gamma} = \delta_\gamma g^{\beta\alpha} + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a g^{\beta\alpha}; \quad g^{\gamma\alpha}{}_{,\gamma} = \delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a g^{\gamma\alpha}, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha,\gamma}^\beta = \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta; \quad \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha,\gamma}^\gamma = \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma + N_\gamma^a \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma. \quad (4.16)$$

Substituting (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16) in (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2 \{ \Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\mu (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) - \Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma) \} \\ &\quad + 2 \{ (g^{\gamma\alpha} \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) - (\delta_\gamma g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma - \delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta) \} \\ &\quad + 2 \{ N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) - N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\epsilon (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma) \} \\ &\quad + 2 N_\gamma^a \{ (g^{\gamma\alpha} \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) - (\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma \dot{\partial}_a g^{\beta\alpha} - \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta \dot{\partial}_a g^{\gamma\alpha}) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.3 gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} = 2|\lambda| \lambda^\epsilon (g^{\beta\mu} N_\alpha^\epsilon \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_\nu^\epsilon \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta).$$

Consequently, taking into account that $|\lambda|_{;a} = |\lambda|C_{\mu a}^\mu$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2C_{\delta a}^\delta (\delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\beta\mu} N_\alpha^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha - \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\mu\nu} N_\nu^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta) \lambda_\sigma \\ &\quad + 2(\lambda_\sigma \lambda_{\beta;a}^\epsilon) \{ g^{\beta\mu} N_\alpha^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_\nu^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta \} + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\beta\mu}{}_{;a} N_\alpha^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha \\ &\quad + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\mu\nu}{}_{;a} N_\nu^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\beta\mu} N_{\alpha;a} \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\alpha + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\mu\nu} N_{\nu;a} \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta \\ &\quad + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\beta\mu} N_\alpha^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu;a}^\alpha + \delta_\sigma^\epsilon g^{\mu\nu} N_\nu^a \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu;a}^\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $C_{\mu a}^\alpha = \lambda_j^\alpha \lambda_{\mu;a}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta;a}} \right) \right\} \lambda_\sigma &= 2 \{ (g^{\beta\mu} N_{\alpha;a} \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_{\nu;a} \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta) \\ &\quad - N_\gamma^a C_{\mu a}^\mu (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) + N_\gamma^a C_{\sigma a}^\epsilon (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma) \\ &\quad - N_\gamma^a (g^{\gamma\alpha} \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \dot{\partial}_a \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) + N_\gamma^a (\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma \dot{\partial}_a g^{\beta\alpha} - \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta \dot{\partial}_a g^{\gamma\alpha}) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

By (4.12), (4.17) and (4.18), after some reductions, we finally arrive at the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{K}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma &= \delta_\sigma^\beta K - 2K_\sigma^\beta + 2g^{\mu\nu} \Lambda_{\mu\epsilon}^\beta \Lambda_{\sigma\nu}^\epsilon - 2\Gamma_{\mu\gamma}^\mu (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) \\ &\quad + 2\Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon (g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma) - 2(g^{\gamma\alpha} \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta - g^{\beta\alpha} \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma) \\ &\quad + 2(\delta_\gamma g^{\beta\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma - \delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta) - 2(g^{\beta\mu} N_{\alpha;a} \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_{\nu;a} \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

In what follows, we shall derive some formulae to simplify (4.19). Recall that the canonical d -connection is a metric connection.

We have $g^{\alpha\beta}|_\gamma = 0$, so that $\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma g^{\alpha\beta}|_\gamma = 0$. Consequently,

$$\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma \delta_\gamma g^{\alpha\beta} = -\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\beta - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\gamma g^{\beta\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon. \quad (4.20)$$

Next,

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma|_\gamma = g^{\alpha\beta} (\delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma + \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma - \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma \Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\gamma \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon).$$

Hence, noting that $\Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma = \Lambda_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma + \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\gamma = C_\epsilon + \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\gamma$, we get

$$g^{\alpha\beta} (\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma|_\gamma - C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon) = g^{\alpha\beta} (\delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma + \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\gamma - \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\gamma \Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon - \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\gamma \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon). \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover,

$$g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta|_\gamma = g^{\gamma\alpha} \delta_\gamma \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta + g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\beta - g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon - g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\epsilon\alpha}^\beta \Gamma_{\sigma\gamma}^\epsilon. \quad (4.22)$$

Adding the first term on the right hand side of (4.20) and the second term on the right hand side of (4.22), we obtain

$$g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma (\Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\beta - \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\beta) = g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\gamma \Lambda_{\gamma\epsilon}^\beta = g^{\mu\nu} \Lambda_{\epsilon\mu}^\beta \Lambda_{\sigma\nu}^\epsilon. \quad (4.23)$$

As easily checked,

$$\delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} + g^{\gamma\epsilon} \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\alpha + g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma = 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta (\delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} + g^{\gamma\epsilon} \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\alpha + g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Gamma_{\epsilon\gamma}^\gamma) \\ &= \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta \delta_\gamma g^{\gamma\alpha} + \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta g^{\gamma\epsilon} \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\alpha + \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta g^{\alpha\epsilon} \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\gamma + \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta C^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Finally, it is clear that the third term on the right hand side of (4.22) cancels with the second term on the right hand side of (4.24), that is

$$g^{\gamma\epsilon} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta \Gamma_{\gamma\epsilon}^\alpha - g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\epsilon = 0$$

In view of (4.21), (4.23), and (4.24), equation (4.19) may be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{K}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma &= \delta_\sigma^\beta K - 2K_\sigma^\beta + 2g^{\alpha\beta} (\Lambda^\gamma_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma} - C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon) - 2g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma}^\beta \\ &\quad + 2\Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\beta C^\alpha - 2(g^{\beta\mu} N_{\alpha;a}^a \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_{\nu;a}^a \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

We finally consider the Euler-Lagrange equations (4.2). Setting

$$E_\sigma^\beta := \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_\sigma, \quad (4.26)$$

then, according to (4.11), (4.25) and (4.26), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} E_\sigma^\beta &= \delta_\sigma^\beta H - 2H_\sigma^\beta + 2(C^\beta_{|\sigma} + C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta) - 2C_\sigma C^\beta - 2\delta_\sigma^\beta (C^\gamma_{|\gamma} - C^\gamma C_\gamma) - 2g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma}^\beta \\ &\quad + 2g^{\alpha\beta} (\Lambda^\gamma_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma} - C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon) - 2(g^{\beta\mu} N_{\alpha;a}^a \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\alpha - g^{\mu\nu} N_{\nu;a}^a \Lambda_{\sigma\mu}^\beta) \\ &\quad + 2C^\nu (\delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a - \delta_\nu^\beta N_{\sigma;a}^a). \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

On the other hand, by (2.3), we have

$$C_{\sigma|\alpha} - C_{\alpha|\sigma} = \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma}^\gamma - C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon + \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon} C_{\alpha a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\sigma}^a. \quad (4.28)$$

Hence, by (4.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (C^\beta_{|\sigma} + C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\epsilon}^\beta) + g^{\alpha\beta} (\Lambda^\gamma_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma} - C_\epsilon \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha}^\epsilon) &= g^{\alpha\beta} C_{\sigma|\alpha} - C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\epsilon\sigma}^\beta \\ &\quad + g^{\alpha\beta} \{ \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon} C_{\alpha a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\sigma}^a \} \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

In view of (4.27) and (4.29), the Euler-Lagrange equations take the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_\sigma^\beta &= \delta_\sigma^\beta H - 2H_\sigma^\beta - 2C_\sigma C^\beta - 2\delta_\sigma^\beta C_{|\epsilon}^\epsilon + 2\delta_\sigma^\beta C^\epsilon C_\epsilon - 2C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\epsilon\sigma}^\beta \\ &\quad + 2g^{\alpha\beta} C_{\sigma|\alpha} - 2g^{\gamma\alpha} \Lambda_{\sigma\alpha|\gamma}^\beta - 2N_{\alpha;a}^a (\Lambda^\alpha_{\sigma}{}^\beta - \Lambda^\beta_{\sigma}{}^\alpha) \\ &\quad + 2C^\nu (\delta_\sigma^\beta N_{\nu;a}^a - \delta_\nu^\beta N_{\sigma;a}^a) + 2g^{\alpha\beta} \{ \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma,\alpha,\epsilon} C_{\alpha a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\sigma}^a \} \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Lowering the index β in (4.30) and renaming the indices, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = E_{\mu\nu} := & g_{\mu\nu}H - 2H_{\mu\nu} - 2C_\mu C_\nu - 2g_{\mu\nu}(C^\epsilon|_\epsilon - C^\epsilon C_\epsilon) - 2C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\mu\epsilon\nu} + 2C_{\nu|\mu} \\
& - 2g^{\epsilon\alpha} \Lambda_{\mu\nu\alpha|\epsilon} - 2N_{\epsilon;a}^a (\Lambda^\epsilon_{\nu\mu} - \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon) + 2g_{\mu\nu} C^\epsilon N_{\epsilon;a}^a - 2C_\mu N_{\nu;a}^a \\
& + 2 \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\mu a}^\epsilon R_{\nu\epsilon}^a.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

This is the **horizontal unified field equations** in the context of the EAP-geometry.

Although the chosen Lagrangian (4.1) has a similar form to that of the GFT, the horizontal field equations derived in the framework of EAP-geometry, as should be expected, contain extra terms which do not exist in the context of the GFT. This is because the Euler-Lagrange equations contain an additional term due to the dependence of the horizontal fundamental vector fields on the directional argument y (4.2). Moreover, these extra terms are expressed explicitly in terms of the nonlinear connection of the EAP-space (4.31).

4.2 Vertical unified field equations

We now deduce the vertical field equations. *No conditions are imposed on the nonlinear connection.*

As easily checked, Lemma 3.1 remains valid if all horizontal geometric objects are replaced by their vertical correspondents.

We consider here a scalar Lagrangian formed of vertical entities. Let

$$\mathcal{V} := ||\lambda|| g^{ab} V_{ab},$$

where

$$V_{ab} := T_{ea}^d T_{db}^e - C_a C_b.$$

Since, by (2.1) and (2.2), the vertical torsion tensor T_{bc}^a is expressed in terms of both λ^a and $\lambda_{b;\epsilon}$, it follows that $\mathcal{V}$ does not depend on $\lambda_{b,\mu}$, that is

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_{b,\mu}} = 0.$$

Consequently, the Euler-Lagrange equations in this case reduce to

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_b} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^e} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda_{b;\epsilon}} \right) = 0.$$

Following the same procedure of the proof of equation (4.31), taking into account Lemma 3.2, (with each geometric object being replaced by its vertical analogue), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = E_{ab} := & g_{ab}V - 2V_{ab} - 2g_{ab}(C^e|_e - C^e C_e) - 2C_a C_b - 2C^e T_{aeb} \\
& + 2C_{b|a} - 2g^{de} T_{abe|d}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

This is the **vertical unified field equations** in the context of the EAP-geometry.

It should be noted that equation (4.32) is formally similar to the field equations of the GFT with each geometric object in the context of the GFT replaced by its vertical counterpart.

5. Physical Consequences

In this section, we investigate some physical consequences of the obtained field equations. To do this, we split the obtained field equations into its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts. We show that the symmetric (skew-symmetric) part of the field equations give rise to a generalized form of Einstein's equations (Maxwell's equations). Moreover, all physical objects considered are purely geometric.

5.1 Splitting the horizontal field equations

We first focus our attention on the symmetric and skew symmetric parts of the horizontal field equations (4.31).

Considering the **symmetric** part of (4.31), noting that $C = C^\epsilon|_\epsilon - C^\epsilon C_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{(\mu\nu)} &= g_{\mu\nu}H - 2H_{\mu\nu} - 2C_\mu C_\nu - 2g_{\mu\nu}C - C^\epsilon(\Lambda_{\mu\epsilon\nu} + \Lambda_{\nu\epsilon\mu}) + (C_{\nu|\mu} + C_{\mu|\nu}) \\ &\quad - g^{\epsilon\alpha}(\Lambda_{\mu\nu\alpha|\epsilon} + \Lambda_{\nu\mu\alpha|\epsilon}) + N_{\epsilon;a}^a(\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon + \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\epsilon) + 2g_{\mu\nu}C^\epsilon N_{\epsilon;a}^a \\ &\quad - (C_\mu N_{\nu;a}^a + C_\nu N_{\mu;a}^a). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.1 (b), the above equation can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{(\mu\nu)} &= g_{\mu\nu}H - 2H_{\mu\nu} - 2\alpha_{\mu\nu} - 2g_{\mu\nu}C + \phi_{\mu\nu} + \theta_{\mu\nu} - \psi_{\mu\nu} + N_{\epsilon;a}^a(\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\epsilon + \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\epsilon) \\ &\quad + 2g_{\mu\nu}C^\epsilon N_{\epsilon;a}^a - (C_\mu N_{\nu;a}^a + C_\nu N_{\mu;a}^a) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

As easily checked, the relation $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \gamma_{\nu\mu}^\alpha$ implies that

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu} - \alpha_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.2)$$

$$H := g^{\mu\nu}H_{\mu\nu} = \sigma - \varpi + \omega - \alpha. \quad (5.3)$$

Substituting (5.2) and (5.3) in (5.1), setting $N_\beta := N_{\beta;a}^a$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{(\mu\nu)} &:= g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi - \alpha + \omega) - 2(\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\mu\nu}) - 2g_{\mu\nu}C \\ &\quad + (\theta_{\mu\nu} + \phi_{\mu\nu} - \psi_{\mu\nu}) + N_\beta(\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta + \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\beta) + 2g_{\mu\nu}C^\beta N_\beta \\ &\quad - (C_\mu N_\nu + C_\nu N_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, by (2.5), we have

$$\mathring{R}_{(\mu\nu)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\mu\nu} - \psi_{\mu\nu} + \phi_{\mu\nu}) + \omega_{\mu\nu} + Q_{(\mu\nu)}, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathring{\mathcal{R}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta - \psi + \phi) + \omega + Q; \quad Q := g^{\mu\nu}Q_{\mu\nu}. \quad (5.6)$$

Solving for $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ and ω in (5.5) and (5.6) respectively and substituting in (5.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{(\mu\nu)} := & g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi - \alpha + \{\mathring{\mathcal{R}} - \frac{1}{2}(\psi - \phi - \theta) - Q\} - 2C) - 2(\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu}) \\ & - \{2\mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{(\mu\nu)} - (\psi_{\mu\nu} - \phi_{\mu\nu} - \theta_{\mu\nu}) - Q_{(\mu\nu)}\} + (\theta_{\mu\nu} + \phi_{\mu\nu} - \psi_{\mu\nu}) \\ & + N_\beta(\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta + \Lambda_{\nu\mu}^\beta) + 2g_{\mu\nu}C^\beta N_\beta - (C_\mu N_\nu + C_\nu N_\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Let $N^\beta := g^{\beta\epsilon} N_\epsilon$. Taking the relation $\frac{1}{2}(\phi - \psi + \theta) - \alpha - 2C = 0$ (Lemma 3.1 (a)) into account, (5.7) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{(\mu\nu)} := & (g_{\mu\nu}\mathring{\mathcal{R}} - 2\mathring{R}_{(\mu\nu)}) + g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi - Q) - 2(\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu} - Q_{(\mu\nu)}) \\ & + N^\beta(\Lambda_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\nu\mu\beta}) + 2g_{\mu\nu}C^\beta N_\beta - (C_\mu N_\nu + C_\nu N_\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

which represents the **symmetric part** of the horizontal unified field equations (4.31) expressed in terms of the fundamental tensors of Table 1.

Finally, setting

$$M_{\mu\nu} := N^\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu\beta}, \quad Z_{\mu\nu} := C_\mu N_\nu, \quad Z := g^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.9)$$

equation (5.8) can be written in the more informative form:

$$\mathring{R}_{(\mu\nu)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathring{\mathcal{R}} = T_{(\mu\nu)}; \quad (5.10)$$

$$T_{(\mu\nu)} := \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi - Q + 2Z) - (\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu} - Q_{(\mu\nu)}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} N_\beta \Omega_{\mu\nu}^\beta - Z_{(\mu\nu)}\right). \quad (5.11)$$

According to (5.10), $T_{(\mu\nu)}$ may be interpreted as the **horizontal geometric energy-momentum tensor** (as will be clear in section 7), constructed from the symmetric tensors of Table 1, together with $N_\beta \Omega_{\mu\nu}^\beta$, $Q_{(\mu\nu)}$ and $Z_{(\mu\nu)}$. On the other hand, in view of (5.10), (5.11) and the fact that $\mathring{R}_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} \mathring{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a$ (by (1.13)), the horizontal Einstein tensor (1.14) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{G}_{\mu\nu} &= \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \mathring{\mathcal{R}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi) + (\varpi_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(2Z - Q) + \frac{1}{2} N_\beta \Omega_{\mu\nu}^\beta - Z_{(\mu\nu)} + Q_{(\mu\nu)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} \mathring{C}_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

which is, by (1.15), subject to the identity

$$\mathring{G}^\mu{}_{\sigma} \mathring{\sigma}^\sigma{}_\mu = R_{\sigma\mu}^a \mathring{P}_a^\mu + \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha\mu}^a \mathring{P}^{\alpha\mu}{}_{\sigma a}. \quad (5.13)$$

Now, we consider the **skew-symmetric part** of the horizontal field equations (4.31). By Lemma 3.1 (c) and (5.9), we obtain

$$0 = E_{[\mu\nu]} = 2\gamma_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} - 2\xi_{\mu\nu} - \chi_{\mu\nu} + 2N_\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta + 2(M_{[\mu\nu]} - Z_{[\mu\nu]}) + 2\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a \quad (5.14)$$

Expressed in terms of the fundamental tensors of Table 1, relation (2.3) is given by

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon_{\mu\nu} - \chi_{\mu\nu} = \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a, \quad (5.15)$$

which, when inserted in (5.14), yields

$$0 = E_{[\mu\nu]} = 2\{(\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + N_\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta) + (M_{[\mu\nu]} - Z_{[\mu\nu]})\} + 3\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a. \quad (5.16)$$

Moreover, since

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu|\nu} - C_{\nu|\mu} = (\delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu) - \eta_{\mu\nu},$$

it follows, by (5.16), that

$$\delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu = (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} + N_\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta) + (M_{[\mu\nu]} - Z_{[\mu\nu]}) + \frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a. \quad (5.17)$$

The above equation can be written in the more informative form

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu, \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &:= (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu} + N_\beta \Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\beta) + (M_{[\mu\nu]} - Z_{[\mu\nu]}) + \frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a \\ &= (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}) + N^\beta (\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + \left(\frac{1}{2} N^\beta \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu} - Z_{[\mu\nu]}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Now, recalling that $[\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu] = R_{\mu\nu}^a \partial_a$, which indicates the non-commutativity of the operator δ_μ , and

$$F_{\mu\nu} \circ_\sigma + F_{\nu\sigma} \circ_\mu + F_{\sigma\mu} \circ_\nu = \delta_\sigma F_{\mu\nu} + \delta_\mu F_{\nu\sigma} + \delta_\nu F_{\sigma\mu},$$

one can write, using (5.18), the identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} \circ_\sigma = -\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}^a \partial_a C_\sigma. \quad (5.20)$$

According to (5.18), considering its right hand side as a generalization of the curl of the horizontal basic vector C_μ in the context of the EAP-geometry, then the tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ can be considered as the **horizontal geometric electromagnetic field strength**, the vector C_μ as the **horizontal geometric electromagnetic potential** and equation (5.18) as a **generalized** form of the **horizontal Maxwell's equations**. Again, by (5.19), $F_{\mu\nu}$ is constructed from the horizontal skew-symmetric fundamental tensors of the EAP-space (Table 1) together with the skew-symmetric tensors $N^\beta \gamma_{\mu\nu\beta}$, $N^\beta \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\epsilon} C_{\nu a}^\epsilon R_{\epsilon\mu}^a$ and $Z_{[\mu\nu]}$. It is thus constructed from a purely geometric standpoint.

Now, let

$$J^\mu := F^{\mu\nu} \circ_\nu.$$

Then, by the commutation formula

$$F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{\alpha\beta} - F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{\beta\alpha} = F^{\mu\epsilon} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\epsilon\beta\alpha}^\nu + F^{\epsilon\nu} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\epsilon\beta\alpha}^\mu + R_{\beta\alpha}^a F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||a},$$

noting that $F^{\mu\nu}$ is skew-symmetric, we conclude that

$$2 F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||\mu\nu} = F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||\mu\nu} - F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||\nu\mu} = -F^{\mu\epsilon} \dot{R}_{\epsilon\mu} + F^{\epsilon\nu} \dot{R}_{\epsilon\nu} - R_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||a}.$$

Consequently,

$$J^\mu \circ_{||\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \{ F^{\epsilon\mu} (\dot{R}_{\mu\epsilon} - \dot{R}_{\epsilon\mu}) + R_{\mu\nu}^a F^{\mu\nu} \circ_{||a} \}. \quad (5.21)$$

This relation will be discussed in subsection 6.1.

Finally, setting

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu} := N^\beta \gamma_{\beta\mu\nu} - Z_{\mu\nu} + Q_{\mu\nu},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} \dot{C}_{\mu a}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a,$$

we find, by (5.12), (5.19) and

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} C_{\mu a}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a = \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} \dot{C}_{\mu a}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a + 2Q_{[\mu\nu]},$$

that

$$\dot{G}_{\mu\nu} := \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\sigma - h) + (h_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (2Z - Q) + \mathcal{V}_{(\mu\nu)} + \mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (5.22)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}) + N^\beta (\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{V}_{[\mu\nu]} + \mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}; \quad (5.23)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu} := \mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\alpha} C_{\mu a}^\alpha R_{\nu\alpha}^a.$$

It is clear, by (5.22) and (5.23), that the skew-symmetric tensor $\mathcal{U}_{\mu\nu}$ contributes to both gravitational and electromagnetic effects. Moreover, the tensor $\mathcal{V}_{\mu\nu}$ could be interpreted as representing a kind of *mutual interaction* between gravity and electromagnetism, since its symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) part gives rise to gravitational (resp. electromagnetic) effects.

5.2 Splitting the vertical field equations

Considering the **symmetric part** of the vertical field equations (4.32), taking into consideration the vertical analogue of Lemma 3.1 **(b)**, we obtain, similar to (5.1),

$$0 = E_{(ab)} := g_{ab}V - 2V_{ab} - 2g_{ab}(C^e_{||e} - C^e C_e) - 2\alpha_{ab} + \theta_{ab} + \phi_{ab} - \psi_{ab}. \quad (5.24)$$

Proceeding as we did in the derivation of the symmetric part of the horizontal field equations, taking into account (2.6) and the vertical analogue of Lemma 3.1 **(a)** (setting $\bar{\sigma} = \text{trace}(\sigma_{ab})$ and $\bar{\varpi} = \text{trace}(\varpi_{ab})$), we finally arrive at the relation

$$0 = E_{(ab)} := (g_{ab} \dot{\mathcal{S}} - 2\dot{S}_{ab}) + g_{ab}(\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\varpi}) - 2(\sigma_{ab} - \varpi_{ab}),$$

which can be written in the more informative form:

$$\dot{S}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \dot{\mathcal{S}} = T_{ab}; \quad (5.25)$$

$$T_{ab} := \frac{1}{2} g_{ab}(\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\varpi}) - (\sigma_{ab} - \varpi_{ab}). \quad (5.26)$$

Moreover, (5.25) implies, using (1.18), that

$$T^a{}_{b \parallel a} = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Consequently, in view of (5.25) and (5.27), T_{ab} could be interpreted as the **vertical geometric energy-momentum tensor** for both matter and electromagnetism, which is, according to (5.26), constructed from the vertical symmetric fundamental tensors of the EAP-space (Table 1).

Considering the **skew-symmetric part** of (4.32), following the same steps as in the previous section, with necessary changes, we conclude that

$$F_{ab} = \dot{\partial}_b C_a - \dot{\partial}_a C_b, \quad (5.28)$$

where

$$F_{ab} := \gamma_{ab} - \xi_{ab} + \eta_{ab} \quad (5.29)$$

is the **vertical geometric electromagnetic field tensor**. Moreover, (5.28) represents the **vertical generalized Maxwell's equations** in which F_{ab} is expressed as the generalized curl of the vertical basic vector C_a . Consequently, C_a may be interpreted as the **vertical geometric electromagnetic potential**. Also, by (5.28) and the relation

$$F_{ab \parallel c} + F_{bc \parallel a} + F_{ca \parallel b} = \dot{\partial}_c F_{ab} + \dot{\partial}_a F_{bc} + \dot{\partial}_b F_{ca},$$

we obtain the identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_{a,b,c} F_{ab \parallel c} = 0. \quad (5.30)$$

It is clear, by (5.29), that F_{ab} is constructed from the vertical skew-symmetric tensors of Table 1.

Finally, if we set

$$J^a := F^{ab}{}_{\parallel b}$$

then, similar to (5.21), J^a satisfies the identity

$$J^a{}_{\parallel a} = 0. \quad (5.31)$$

Hence, J^a represents the **vertical geometric current density** and (5.31) represents a generalization of the conservation law of the current density.

We note that the equations (5.29) to (5.31) are formally similar to those obtained in the GFT [14], with each geometric object of the GFT replaced by the corresponding vertical geometric object in the EAP-context.

To sum up, in sections 4 and 5, we have constructed a unified field theory representing a natural generalization of the GFT in which the unique metric d -connection defined in

Theorem 1.9 plays the role of the Riemannian connection in the GFT. The constructed theory has given rise to **two sets of field equations** (5.12), (5.18) and (5.25), (5.28) subject to the identities (5.20), (5.21), (5.30) and the conservation laws (5.27) and (5.31). Certain geometrical objects derived from the fundamental vector fields have been identified with the **material energy**, **electromagnetic field**, **electromagnetic potential** and the **current density**. The dependence of the geometric objects considered on the directional argument y , in addition to the positional argument x , has made our constructed field theory wider in scope and richer in content than the GFT.

6. Important Special Cases

We now investigate some interesting special cases for the constructed field equations by imposing extra conditions which are natural on either the nonlinear connection or the canonical d -connection or both. These conditions illuminate our understanding of the physical contents of the constructed theory. We consider the following cases.

6.1 Integrability condition

We investigate the form of the horizontal field equations obtained under the additional assumption that the nonlinear connection is integrable, that is, $R_{\mu\nu}^a$ vanishes. We refer to this condition as the integrability condition. No extra conditions are imposed on the canonical d -connection.

Let $R_{\mu\nu}^a = 0$. Then, noting in this case that $T_{\mu\nu} = T_{(\mu\nu)}$ and setting $A_{\mu\nu} := N^\beta \gamma_{\beta\mu\nu} - Z_{\mu\nu}$, equations (5.11), (5.19) and (5.18) take the form

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\sigma - \varpi) + (\varpi_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \right\} + g_{\mu\nu} Z + A_{(\mu\nu)}, \quad (6.1)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}) + N^\beta (\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + A_{[\mu\nu]}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu. \quad (6.3)$$

The terms between curly brackets in (6.1) are similar in form to those obtained in the context of the GFT. The gauge invariance of (6.3) will be discussed in the concluding remarks.

Again, by (6.1) and (6.2), $A_{\mu\nu}$ could be interpreted as representing a kind of *mutual interaction* between gravity and electromagnetism, since its symmetric (resp. skew-symmetric) part gives rise to gravitational (resp. electromagnetic) effects.

It is clear that $T_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric. Moreover, by relation (5.13), noting that $G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}$, the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the **conservation law**

$$T^\mu{}_{\nu} \circ_{|\mu} = 0. \quad (6.4)$$

Also, by (5.20), $F_{\mu\nu}$ satisfies the identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} \circ_{|\sigma} = 0. \quad (6.5)$$

Finally, in view of (5.21), noting that $\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu}$, in this case, is symmetric (by (1.13)), the geometric current density satisfies the **conservation law**

$$J^\mu{}_{|\mu} = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

On the other hand, the vertical field equations remain the same as those obtained in section 5.

6.2 Cartan-type case

A d -connection $D = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \Gamma_{b\mu}^a, C_{\mu c}^\alpha, C_{bc}^a)$ on TM is said to be of Cartan-type if

$$y^a{}_{|\mu} = 0, \quad y^a{}_{||c} = \delta_c^a.$$

Let (TM, λ) be an EAP-space. Assume that the canonical d -connection D is of Cartan-type. Then we have [37]:

- (a) The nonlinear connection N_μ^a is expressed in the form $N_\mu^a = y^b(\lambda_i^a \partial_\mu \lambda_b)^2$.
- (b) The nonlinear connection N_μ^a is integrable: $R_{\mu\nu}^a = 0$.
- (c) $P_{\mu c}^a = T_{bc}^a = 0$. Consequently, C_{bc}^a is symmetric, $\gamma_{bc}^a = 0$ and $C_{bc}^a = \overset{\circ}{C}_{bc}^a$.
- (d) $\dot{\partial}_b N_\mu^a = \Gamma_{b\mu}^a$ and N_μ^a is homogeneous. Consequently, $\Gamma_{b\mu}^a$ is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in y .

Assume that D is of Cartan-type. Then the horizontal Einstein tensor satisfies the identity

$$\overset{\circ}{G}^\mu{}_{\sigma}{}^{\circ}{}_{|\mu} = 0.$$

Moreover, all vertical second rank tensors in Table 1 vanish identically.

We now consider the case dealt with in section 4 under the additional assumption that the canonical d -connection is of Cartan-type. By (b) above, the nonlinear connection N_μ^a is integrable. Consequently, the Cartan-type case can be regarded as a special case of the Integrability case, obtained by setting $N_\mu^a = y^b(\lambda_i^a \partial_\mu \lambda_b)$ and $R_{\mu\nu}^a = 0$ (among other things). Accordingly, relations (6.1) to (6.6) remain valid under the Cartan-type condition. In particular, we have

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu. \quad (6.7)$$

The gauge invariance of (6.7) will be discussed in the concluding remarks.

On the other hand, there are no vertical field equations (all vertical objects of Table 1 vanish). The advantage in this case is that the nonlinear connection, consequently, all geometric objects considered, are expressed explicitly in terms of the fundamental vector fields λ 's. It is for this reason that the horizontal field equations in the Cartan-type case lend themselves to the process of linearization.

²A similar expression is found in [31], but in a completely different situation.

6.3 Berwald-type case

A d -connection $D = (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, \Gamma_{b\mu}^a, C_{\mu c}^\alpha, C_{bc}^a)$ on TM is said to be of Berwald-type if

$$\dot{\partial}_b N_\mu^a = \Gamma_{b\mu}^a; \quad C_{\mu c}^\alpha = 0.$$

Assume that D is of Berwald-type. Then [37]

- (a) λ_μ are functions of the positional argument x only. Consequently, so are $g_{\mu\nu}$.
- (b) Both $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ and $\gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ are functions of the positional argument x only. Consequently, so are C_μ .
- (c) $\overset{\circ}{C}_{\mu c}^\alpha = 0$. Consequently, $\gamma_{\mu c}^\alpha = 0$ so that

$$\overset{\circ}{R}_{\beta\mu} = \overset{\circ}{R}_{(\beta\mu)} = -\frac{1}{2}(\theta_{\beta\mu} - \psi_{\beta\mu} + \phi_{\beta\mu}) + \omega_{\beta\mu}. \quad (6.8)$$

- (d) $\overset{\circ}{P}_{\beta\nu c}^\alpha = 0$. Consequently, the horizontal Einstein tensor satisfies the identity

$$\overset{\circ}{G}^\mu{}_\sigma \overset{\circ}{\sigma}{}^\mu{}_\mu = 0.$$

We now assume that the canonical d -connection is of Berwald-type. In this case, *no conditions are imposed on the nonlinear connection*. In other words, the Berwald-type case is not deducible from the case dealt with in section 4. We will therefore derive the horizontal field equations from scratch. Since the horizontal fundamental vector fields and all horizontal geometric objects involved are functions of the positional argument x only, the Euler-Lagrange equations have the form

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} := \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda_\beta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda_{\beta,\gamma}} \right) = 0.$$

Moreover, by the fact that $C_{\mu c}^\alpha = 0$, the **horizontal field equations** in this case, as can be checked, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = E_{\mu\nu} := & g_{\mu\nu} H - 2H_{\mu\nu} - 2(C_\mu C_\nu - C_{\nu|\mu}) + 2g_{\mu\nu}(C^\epsilon C_\epsilon - C^\epsilon{}_{|\epsilon}) \\ & - 2(C^\epsilon \Lambda_{\mu\epsilon\nu} + g^{\epsilon\alpha} \Lambda_{\mu\nu\alpha|\epsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

which are **similar in form** to the field equations of the GFT. Moreover, all geometrical objects involved in (6.9) are functions of the positional argument x only.

Proceeding as we did with the symmetric part of the field equations, taking into account (6.8) and (6.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}} &= T_{\mu\nu}; \\ T_{\mu\nu} &:= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\sigma - \varpi) - (\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \varpi_{\mu\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

where the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is subject to the **conservation law**

$$T^\mu{}_{\nu|\mu} = 0. \quad (6.11)$$

Again similar to the skew-symmetric part of the field equations, noting that $[\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu] = R_{\mu\nu}^a \dot{\partial}_a$ and $C_\sigma = C_\sigma(x)$, we obtain the identity

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}{}^\circ{}_\sigma = -\mathfrak{S}_{\mu,\nu,\sigma} R_{\mu\nu}^a \dot{\partial}_a C_\sigma = 0, \quad (6.12)$$

where the electromagnetic field is given by:

$$F_{\mu\nu} := \gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (6.13)$$

Moreover, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is expressed as the curl of the horizontal basic vector, namely,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu C_\mu - \partial_\mu C_\nu. \quad (6.14)$$

The gauge invariance of (6.14) will be discussed in the concluding remarks.

Finally, if

$$J^\mu := F^{\mu\nu}{}^\circ{}_\nu, \quad (6.15)$$

then, by (5.21), noting that $\mathring{R}_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric and $F^{\mu\nu}{}^\circ{}_a = 0$, we conclude that J^μ satisfies the **conservation law**

$$J^\mu{}^\circ{}_\mu = 0. \quad (6.16)$$

In the Berwald-type case, equations (6.10) to (6.16) are identical in form to those obtained in the context of the GFT. Moreover, all geometric objects involved are functions of the positional argument x only. Consequently, the horizontal field equations obtained under the Berwald-type condition are actually an exact replica of the GFT. Nevertheless, the vertical field equations under the Berwald-type condition are still alive and are the same as those obtained in the general case (4.32). This means that, in this case, we have the GFT plus something else whose physical essence is not yet revealed.

6.4 Recovering the GFT (The Cartan-Berwald case)

We finally assume that the canonical d -connection is both of Berwald- and Cartan-type. Then we have, in this case, [37]

- (a) The hh -coefficients of the natural metric and the canonical d -connections are functions of the positional argument x only and are both identical to the coefficients of the corresponding connections in the conventional AP-space.
- (b) The torsion and the contortion of the EAP-space are functions of the positional argument x only and are given by

$$\mathbf{T} = (\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, 0, 0, 0, 0); \quad \mathbf{C} = (\gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha, 0, 0, 0, 0)$$

- (c) The horizontal fundamental tensors of Table 1 are functions of the positional argument x only and are identical to their corresponding tensors in the conventional AP-space (cf. [13]).

In this case, the horizontal field equations are given by (6.9), whereas the vertical field equations clearly disappear. Moreover, relations (6.10) to (6.16) hold. Consequently, we have only one set of field equations which actually **coincides** with those of the GFT. This is the typical case in which the GFT is naturally retrieved.

7. Discussion and Concluding Remarks

In the next 2 tables, we summarize the important results obtained so far. We shall use the following abbreviations:

- I**-condition, for the Integrability condition.
- C**-condition, for the Cartan case.
- B**-condition, for the Berwald case.
- CB**-condition, for the Cartan-Berwald case.
- MEC**, for the matter-energy conservation law.
- CDC**, for the current density conservation law.

We also set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu} &:= \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}(\sigma - \varpi) + (\varpi_{\mu\nu} - \sigma_{\mu\nu}), & \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu} &:= \gamma_{\mu\nu} - \xi_{\mu\nu} + \eta_{\mu\nu}, \\ \mathbb{E}_{ab} &:= \frac{1}{2} g_{ab}(\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\varpi}) + (\bar{\varpi}_{ab} - \sigma_{ab}), & \mathbb{F}_{ab} &:= \gamma_{ab} - \xi_{ab} + \eta_{ab}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that $\mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu}$ represent the Einstein and electromagnetic tensors in the context of the GFT respectively.

The next two tables should be considered together as a single entity since they are complementary. For example, the horizontal counterparts of the **CB**- and **B**-conditions are identical. However, their vertical counterparts are categorically different; the vertical counterparts of the **CB**-condition disappear, whereas those of the **B**-condition coincide with those of the general case. Similarly, the vertical counterparts of the **I**-condition and the general case coincide, while their horizontal counterparts are again different. *It is only by considering the two tables as **one unit** that the similarity and the difference of the cases dealt with are revealed.*

On the other hand, though the horizontal conservation laws (Table 2) are similar in form in all cases considered (apart from the general case), the geometric objects involved in the **CB**- and **B**-conditions are functions of the positional argument x only, while in the **C**- and **I**-conditions they are function of both the positional argument x and the directional argument y .

Table 2
Horizontal section

Condition	Gravitation and Electromagnetic tensors		Conservation laws		Max. Equations
	Gravitation tensor	Electromagnetic tensor	MEC	CDC	
CB-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu}$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu}$	$T^\mu{}_{\sigma \mu} = 0$	$J^\mu{}_{ \mu} = 0$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu C_\mu - \partial_\mu C_\nu$
B-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu}$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu}$	$T^\mu{}_{\sigma \mu} = 0$	$J^\mu{}_{ \mu} = 0$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu C_\mu - \partial_\mu C_\nu$
C-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}Z + A_{(\mu\nu)}$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu} + N^\beta(\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + A_{[\mu\nu]}$	$T^\mu{}_{\sigma \mu} = 0$	$J^\mu{}_{ \mu} = 0$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu$
I-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}Z + A_{(\mu\nu)}$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu} + N^\beta(\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + A_{[\mu\nu]}$	$T^\mu{}_{\sigma \mu} = 0$	$J^\mu{}_{ \mu} = 0$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu$
General case	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{E}_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu}(Z - \frac{1}{2}Q) + \mathbb{V}_{(\mu\nu)} + \mathbb{U}_{\mu\nu}$	$F_{\mu\nu} = \mathbb{F}_{\mu\nu} + N^\beta(\gamma_{\mu\nu\beta} + \Lambda_{\beta\mu\nu}) + \mathcal{V}_{[\mu\nu]} + \mathbb{U}_{\mu\nu} + \mathbb{W}_{\mu\nu}$	—	—	$F_{\mu\nu} = \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu$

Table 3
Vertical section

Condition	Gravitation and Electromagnetic tensors		Conservation laws		Maxwell's Equations
	Gravitation tensor	Electromagnetic tensor	MEC	CDC	
CB-Condition	vanishes	vanishes	trivial	trivial	trivial
B-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{ab} = \mathbb{E}_{ab}$	$F_{ab} = \mathbb{F}_{ab}$	$T^a{}_{b a} = 0$	$J^a{}_{ a} = 0$	$F_{ab} = \dot{\partial}_b C_a - \dot{\partial}_a C_b$
C-Condition	vanishes	vanishes	trivial	trivial	trivial
I-Condition	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{ab} = \mathbb{E}_{ab}$	$F_{ab} = \mathbb{F}_{ab}$	$T^a{}_{b a} = 0$	$J^a{}_{ a} = 0$	$F_{ab} = \dot{\partial}_b C_a - \dot{\partial}_a C_b$
General case	$\overset{\circ}{G}_{ab} = \mathbb{E}_{ab}$	$F_{ab} = \mathbb{F}_{ab}$	$T^a{}_{b a} = 0$	$J^a{}_{ a} = 0$	$F_{ab} = \dot{\partial}_b C_a - \dot{\partial}_a C_b$

We end the paper with the following remarks and comments:

- In the mathematical framework of general relativity, there are no geometric objects that can be identified with matter and other fields different from the gravitational field. General relativity provides a geometric description of spacetime and purely gravitational phenomena. Other interactions are simply *not included*. Though the dynamics of macroscopic objects can be treated successfully in the context of general relativity theory, the impact of other interactions on gravity and the absence of dynamical objects describing these interactions may lead to the emergence of serious problems. In other words, although other interactions do not play an explicit role on the dynamics of large objects, we cannot neglect their impact on gravitational phenomena. Theories having a wider geometric structure than Riemannian geometry are therefore needed to reformulate the structure of spacetime in order to include other fields. AP-geometry, with its sixteen degrees of freedom, is capable of treating electromagnetic phenomena on an equal footing with gravitational phenomena. In fact, the GFT, constructed in the framework of AP-geometry, not only gives a single geometric description of both fields, but also gives matter a geometric origin. Moreover, GFT has been able to solve some of the problems present in the context of general relativity theory such as the horizon and the flatness problems [27]. Since the GFT emerges as a special case of our constructed field theory, it follows that these problems are actually solved in the framework of our new theory. Moreover, other interactions may be potentially included in the context of our new theory due to its wealth and its wide geometric scope. We hope that using the present theory, constructed in the context of EAP-geometry, one would solve more of the general relativity problems.
- In this paper, we have constructed a unified field theory in the framework of EAP-geometry. The field equations are obtained by a variational method. The formulated theory is a generalization of the GFT, in which the chosen Lagrangians are the horizontal and vertical analogues of the Lagrangian used in the construction of the GFT. Five different interesting cases for the horizontal field equations have been singled out. The most general is derived under the mere assumption that the nonlinear connection is independent of the horizontal fundamental vector fields. From this, follows both the Integrability case and the Cartan-type case. The Berwald-type case is deduced independently. Finally, under the Cartan-Berwald condition, the constructed field equations are shown to coincide with the GFT. On the other hand, the vertical field equations are derived under no additional assumptions.
- The nonlinear connection enters explicitly in the horizontal field equations (and implicitly in the vertical field equations). This is because the chosen horizontal Lagrangian is expressed in terms of the torsion tensor field and its contraction which is, in turn, expressed in terms of the canonical d -connection. The latter is defined in terms of the horizontal fundamental vector fields and the nonlinear connection, through the operator δ_μ . Accordingly, the mathematical structure of the constructed field theory relies heavily on the notion of the nonlinear connection. In fact, the splitting of the field equations into horizontal and vertical counterparts

is made possible only due to the existence of the nonlinear connection. The physical role of the nonlinear connection, however, needs further investigation. This could be partially achieved if an appropriate physical interpretation of the directional argument y is given. One possible interpretation is the following: The vector y^a is attached as an internal variable to each point x . Consequently, the y -dependence may be combined with the notion of anisotropy or non-locality [20].

- The horizontal field equations in the Integrability case and the Cartan-type case coincide. On the other hand, the vertical field equations in the Integrability case coincide with those obtained in the general case, while they disappear in the Cartan-type case. Moreover, in the Cartan-type case, it is possible to take into consideration the “mixed” Lagrangian $\mathcal{M} := |\lambda|g^{cd}M_{cd}$, where $M_{cd} := C_{\epsilon c}^\alpha C_{\alpha d}^\epsilon - C_c C_d$; $C_d := C_{\alpha d}^\alpha$. The corresponding field equations are then given by

$$\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \left(\frac{\delta \mathcal{M}}{\delta \lambda_\beta} \right) \lambda_j^\sigma = 0.$$

The idea is that $C_{\mu c}^\alpha$ is one of the non-vanishing torsion tensors of the canonical d -connection under the Cartan-type case, in addition to the purely horizontal counterpart $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$, which is used in the construction of the horizontal field equations.

- Though the curvature of the nonlinear connection does not in general vanish under the Berwald-type condition, *it does not contribute, in any way, to the horizontal field equations obtained*. This is one of the reasons (besides the fact that the geometric objects involved are functions of the positional argument x only) why the horizontal field equations coincide with those of the GFT.
- In a forthcoming paper, a linearization of the obtained field equations is carried out, in which more physical interpretations of the coordinates (x^μ, y^a) are given. We interpret x^1, x^2 and x^3 as space coordinates, whereas x^4 is taken as the time coordinate. On the other hand, the vector y^a is attached as an **internal variable** to each point x^μ , as previously stated. In this sense, y^a may be regarded as the spacetime fluctuations (micro internal freedom) associated to the point x^μ [19], [20]. Accordingly, the vertical field equations, we conjecture, may express some kind of micro (or **quantum**) phenomena.
- The Cartan-type case is, roughly speaking, the closest natural generalization of the GFT (at the expense of killing the vertical counterpart)³, whereas the horizontal counterpart of the Berwald-type case is identical to the GFT (leaving untouched the vertical counterpart). Since both electromagnetism and gravity follow from the GFT in the first order of approximation [15], it follows that both theories are an outcome of the horizontal field equations in the Berwald-type case in the first order of approximation. This will be discussed in detail in the above mentioned forthcoming paper.

³We show in our next paper that the field equations in the Cartan-type case actually **coincide** with the GFT in the **first** order of approximation.

- The unified field theory constructed in the present work is a covariant one, i.e., it has an external symmetry. It is not, in general, gauge invariant. But its skew-symmetric section has an internal symmetry, in addition to its external one. The special cases given by equations (6.3), (6.7) and (6.14) show that the skew-symmetric section of the horizontal field equations, in the Integrability, the Cartan and the Berwald cases, are gauge invariant under the local gauge group $U(1)$ (abelian gauge group). Indeed, considering the Integrability and the Cartan cases, let C_μ^* be a (generalized) gauge transformation of C_μ , that is,

$$C_\mu^* := C_\mu - \delta_\mu \phi,$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary smooth function of both x and y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}^* : &= \delta_\nu C_\mu^* - \delta_\mu C_\nu^* \\ &= \delta_\nu (C_\mu - \delta_\mu \phi) - \delta_\mu (C_\nu - \delta_\nu \phi) \\ &= (\delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu) + [\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu] \phi \\ &= \delta_\nu C_\mu - \delta_\mu C_\nu = F_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the integrability condition, namely, $[\delta_\mu, \delta_\nu] = 0$. The gauge invariance of the Berwald case may be proved in a similar manner, taking into account that the gauge transformation in this case has the form

$$C_\mu^* := C_\mu - \partial_\mu \phi,$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary smooth function of x only, together with the fact that $[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0$. This insures the capability of the theory under the above mentioned conditions to describe electromagnetism. Moreover, equation (5.28) implies that the skew-symmetric section of the vertical field equations is also gauge invariant. To see this, note that the gauge transformation in this case takes the form

$$C_a^* := C_a - \dot{\partial}_a \phi,$$

where ϕ is an arbitrary smooth function of x and y . Proceeding as we did in the evaluation of $F_{\mu\nu}^*$, with necessary changes, the gauge invariance now follows by noting that $[\dot{\partial}_a, \dot{\partial}_b] = 0$.

On the other hand, in the general case, (5.18) may imply that the skew-symmetric section of the horizontal field equations may have a more general internal local symmetry than $U(1)$, i.e. invariance under a non-abelian gauge group. More efforts are needed to probe other interactions, besides gravity and electromagnetism, that the theory may be capable of representing. This, in turn, may shed some light on our understanding of the role of the nonlinear connection in the present theory.

- To sum up, our constructed field theory is a pure **geometric** attempt to unify gravity and electromagnetism. The two fields are treated, in a comprehensive way, as one entity. The theory is manifestly **covariant**. Its underlying geometry is

the EAP-geometry. The symmetric part represents gravitation, while the anti-symmetric part represents electromagnetism.⁴ Moreover, the anti-symmetric part of the vertical field equations is gauge invariant. Finally, all **physical objects** involved are expressed in terms of the fundamental tensors of the EAP-space together with the nonlinear connection N defined on the space.

In conclusion, denoting our constructed field theory by UFT (Unified Field Theory), assuming $n = 4$, we have the following

Table 4: Comparison between GFT and UFT

Field Theory	Field Variables	No. of Field Variables	Field Equations	No. of Field Equations
GFT	λ_μ	16	$E_{\mu\nu} = 0$	16
UFT	$\lambda_\mu; \lambda_a$	32	$E_{\mu\nu} = E_{ab} = 0$	32

References

- [1] Gh. Atanasiu, M. Hashiguchi, R. Miron *Supergeneralized Finsler spaces*, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ., 18 (1985), 19-35.
- [2] Gh. Atanasiu, *Metric Kawaguchi connections on the total space of a vector bundle and some special subordinated cases*, Tensor, N. S., 46 (1987), 248-264.
- [3] S. M. Carroll, *The cosmological constant*, [http:// livingreviews. org/Irr-2001-1](http://livingreviews.org/Irr-2001-1).
- [4] A. Dev, J. S. Alcaniz and D. Jain. *Cosmological consequences of a chaplygin gas dark energy*, Phys. Rev. D, 67 (2003), 023515.
- [5] A. Einstein, *The meaning of relativity*, 5th. Ed., Princeton Univ. Press, 1955.
- [6] V. Faraoni, *Gravity: Successes and Challenges*, ArXiv: 0810. 2602.
- [7] J. Friemann, M. Turrer and D. Huterer, *Dark energy and the accelerating universe*, ArXiv: 0803. 0982 (2008).
- [8] R. Hammond, *Dirac coupling of gravity with the torsion potential*, Clas. Quantum Gravity, **12** (1995) 279-285.
- [9] S. Ikeda, *Some remarks on the Lagrangian theory of electromagnetism*, Tensor, N. S., **49** (1990) 204-208.

⁴This does not exclude the possibility that the theory could potentially describe other physical interactions.

- [10] T. Kawaguchi and R. Miron, *Extended Lagrangian theory of electromagnetism*, Rep. Math. Phys., **21** (1988), 193-229.
- [11] T. Kawaguchi and R. Miron, *A Lagrangian model for gravitation and electromagnetism*, Tensor, N. S., **48** (1989), 153-168.
- [12] M. Matsumoto, *Foundations of Finsler Geometry and special Finsler spaces*, Kaiseisha Press, Otsu, Japan, 1986.
- [13] F. I. Mikhail, *Relativistic cosmology and some related problems in general relativity theory*, Ph.D Thesis, London university, 1952.
- [14] F. I. Mikhail and M. I. Wanas, *A generalized field theory. I. Field equations*, Proc. R. Soc. London, A **356** (1977), 471-481.
- [15] F. I. Mikhail and M. I. Wanas, *A generalized field theory. II. Linearized field equations*, Int. J. theor. phys., **9** (1977), 671-680.
- [16] R. Miron, *A Lagrangian theory of relativity*, An. St. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi, Mat., **32** (1986), 37-62.
- [17] R. Miron, *On the Finslerian theory of relativity*, Tensor, N. S., **44** (1987), 63-81.
- [18] R. Miron, *Compendium on the geometry of Lagrange spaces*, Handbook of Differential Geometry, Vol. II (2006), 437-512.
- [19] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *The geometry of Lagrange spaces: theory and applications*, Vol. **59**, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [20] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *Vector bundles and Lagrange spaces with applications to relativity*, Vol. 1, Geometry Balkan Press, Bucurest, Romania, 1997.
- [21] J. V. Narlikar, R. G Vishwakarma, A. Hajian, T. Souradeep, G. Burbidge and F. Hoyle, *Inhomogeneties in the microwave background radiation within the framework of the quassi steady state cosmology*, Astophys. J., **585** (2003) 1-11.
- [22] M. M. Neito and J. D. Anderson, *Search on a solution on the Pioneer Anomaly*, Contemp. Phys., **48** (2007) 41-54.
- [23] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, *Kaluza-Klein gravity*, Phys. Rept., **283** (1997), 303-380.
- [24] T. Takamiya and Y. Sofue, *Radial distribution of the mass-to-luminosity ratio in spiral galaxies and massive dark cores*, Astophys. J., 534 (1999) 670
- [25] M. I. Wanas, *A generalized field theory and its applications in cosmology*, Ph. D. Thesis, Cairo University, 1975.
- [26] M.I. Wanas, *A generalized field theory: charged spherical symmetric solutions*, Int. J. theoret. phys., **24** (1985) 639-651.

- [27] M. I. Wanas, *A self consistent world model*, Astrophys. Space Sci., **154** (1989) 165-177.
- [28] M. I. Wanas, *Motion of spinning particles in gravitational fields*, Astrophys. Space Sci., **258** (1998) 237-248. arXiv: gr-qc/9904019.
- [29] M. I. Wanas, *Absolute parallelism geometry: Developments, applications and problems*, Stud. Cercet, Stiin. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacau, **10** (2001), 297-309. arXiv: gr-qc/0209050.
- [30] M. I. Wanas, *On the relation between mass and charge: A pure geometric approach*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys., **4** (2007), 373-388. arXiv: gr-qc/0703036.
- [31] M. I. Wanas, *An AP-structure with Finslerian flavor*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **24** (2009) 1749-1762.
- [32] M. I. Wanas and M. E. Kahil, *Quantum features of non-symmetric geometries*, Gen. Rel. Gravit., **31** (1999) 1921-1929. arXiv: gr-qc/9912007.
- [33] M. I. Wanas, M. Melek and M. E. Kahil, *Quantum interference of thermal neutrons and spin-torsion interaction*, Gravitation and Cosmology, **6** (2000) 319-322. arXiv: gr-qc/9812085.
- [34] M. I. Wanas, Nabil. L. Youssef and A. M. Sid-Ahmed, *Geometry of parallelizable manifolds in the context of generalized Lagrange spaces*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., **13** (2008), 120-139. arXiv: 0704.2001.
- [35] Nabil. L. Youssef. *Connexion riemannienne sur le fibré tangent d'une variété finslérienne*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **29** (1984), 195-208.
- [36] Nabil. L. Youssef and A. M. Sid-Ahmed, *Linear connections and curvature tensors in the geometry of parallelizable manifolds*, Rep. Math. Phys., **60** (2007), 39-52. arXiv: gr-qc/0604111.
- [37] Nabil. L. Youssef and A. M. Sid Ahmed, *Extended absolute parallelism geometry*. Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys., **5** (2008) 1109-1135. arXiv: 0805.1336[math.DG].